arXiv:1511.06697v3 [math.AP] 21 Feb 2016

THE MAXWELL COMPACTNESS PROPERTY IN BOUNDED WEAK LIPSCHITZ DOMAINS WITH MIXED BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

SEBASTIAN BAUER, DIRK PAULY, AND MICHAEL SCHOMBURG

ABSTRACT. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded weak Lipschitz domain with boundary $\Gamma := \partial\,\Omega$ divided into two weak Lipschitz submanifolds Γ_τ and Γ_ν and let ε denote an L^∞-matrix field inducing an inner product in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$. The main result of this contribution is the so called 'Maxwell compactness property', that is, the Hilbert space

$$\left\{E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \,:\, \operatorname{rot} E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega),\, \operatorname{div} \varepsilon E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega),\, \nu \times E|_{\Gamma_\tau} = 0,\, \nu \cdot \varepsilon E|_{\Gamma_\nu} = 0\right\}$$

is compactly embedded into $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$. We will also prove some canonical applications, such as Maxwell estimates, Helmholtz decompositions and a static solution theory. Furthermore, a Fredholm alternative for the underlying time-harmonic Maxwell problem and all corresponding and related results for exterior domains formulated in weighted Sobolev spaces are straightforward.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
2. Notation, preliminaries and outline of the proof 3
2.1. Lipschitz domains 5
2.2. Outline of the proof 6
3. H^1-potentials 7
3.1. H^1-potentials without boundary conditions 7
3.2. H^1-potentials with boundary conditions 9
3.3. Weak equals strong for the half-cube in terms of boundary conditions 12
4. The compact embedding 13
4.1. Compact embedding on the half-cube 13
4.2. The compact embedding for weak Lipschitz domains 13
5. Applications 16
5.1. The Maxwell estimate 17
5.2. Helmholtz decompositions 17
5.3. Static solution theory 20
Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 3.1 22
Acknowledgements 24
References 24

Date: November 20, 2015.

Key words and phrases. Maxwell compactness property, weak Lipschitz domain, Maxwell estimate, Helmholtz decomposition, electro-magneto static, mixed boundary conditions, vector potentials.

1. Introduction

One of the main and most important tools in the theory of Maxwell's equations is the compact embedding of vector fields with weak divergence and rotation in L^2, subject to appropriate possibly mixed boundary conditions, into L^2.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ denote a bounded domain with boundary $\Gamma := \partial\Omega$, where Γ is divided into two relatively open subsets Γ_τ and its complement $\Gamma_\nu := \Gamma \setminus \overline{\Gamma}_\tau$. Furthermore, let $\varepsilon : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ denote a symmetric and uniformly positive definite L^∞-matrix field, which will throughout the paper be called admissible. The so-called Maxwell compactness property, i.e., the compactness of the embedding

$$\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega), \tag{1}$$

has been investigated in various settings. Here $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of all $E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{rot} E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{div} \varepsilon E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ satisfying the mixed boundary conditions $\nu \times E = 0$ on Γ_τ and $\nu \cdot \varepsilon E = 0$ on Γ_ν in a weak sense.

Historically and e.g. for full tangential boundary conditions, (1) has been first proved by a regularity argument, showing that in the sufficient smooth setting $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)$ embeds continuously to $\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega)$ (Gaffney's inequality) and hence contains a $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$-converging subsequence by Rellich's selection theorem.

A first result for non-smooth, more precisely cone-like, i.e., more or less strong Lipschitz, domains in $\mathbb{R}^N$ or even Riemannian manifolds, was obtained by Weck [24] in the case of full homogeneous boundary conditions, i.e., $\Gamma_\nu = \emptyset$ or $\Gamma_\tau = \emptyset$, using the general setting provided by the calculus of alternating differential forms. Weber [22] found a new proof for bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying the uniform cone-condition, which is again more or less strong Lipschitz. This result was improved upon by Witsch [26], who showed that the compact embedding is valid for bounded domains of $\mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying merely the p-cusp condition for $1 < p < 2$. An elementary proof for weak Lipschitz domains, which even holds for weak Lipschitz manifolds, was given by Picard [17]. Costabel [2] proved the compact embedding by means of a weak regularity result, i.e., there holds the continuous embedding into $\mathsf{H}^{1/2}$ and therefore the compact embedding into H^t for $t < 1/2$ and into $\mathsf{H}^0 = \mathsf{L}^2$ in particular. All these results have been obtained for full boundary conditions.

Kuhn [7], using the methods developed by Weck [24] and comparable assumptions about the regularity of the boundary and the interface, obtained the compact embedding for mixed boundary conditions and even in the general setting of differential forms. Based on the techniques developed by Weber [22], Jochmann [6] showed the compact embedding to hold for vector fields satisfying mixed boundary conditions, if Ω has a (strong) Lipschitz continuous boundary Γ with a (strong) Lipschitz continuous interface $\overline{\Gamma}_\tau \cap \overline{\Gamma}_\nu$. More precisely, the boundary Γ and the interface can be locally represented as graphs of Lipschitz functions.

In this paper it is shown that the assumptions in [6] can be weakened to include domains Ω with weak Lipschitz boundaries and weak Lipschitz interfaces, i.e., the boundary Γ is assumed to be a Lipschitz manifold and the interface a Lipschitz submanifold of Γ. This assumption is weaker than the assumptions in [6]. Moreover, weak Lipschitz domains are relevant in various applications. A prominent example is the so-called two brick domain.

Our paper closely follows [6] and hence [22]. Conveniently, the proofs from [6] carry over practically verbatim. However, a modification of [6, Theorem 1] (see our Theorem 3.8) allows for a shorter and more straightforward proof of the compact embedding. Moreover, we will use different transformations (charts), which reduces the compact embedding to the flat situation of a half-cube.

The main result of this contribution is the compact embedding stated in Theorem 4.7. In the last chapter we present applications of the main theorem, i.e. the Maxwell estimate, Helmholtz decompositions and, following the approach developed by Picard in [15] and [16], a solution theory for the static Maxwell problem involving mixed boundary conditions.

Another application is the proof of Fredholm's alternative for the time-harmonic Maxwell's equations. This is straightforward but would exceed the frame of this paper.

Other important applications can be mentioned in connection with the treatment of static or time-harmonic Maxwell's equations in exterior domains $\Omega_{\mathsf{ext}} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, i.e., domains with compact complement. In this case the compact embedding (1) no longer holds. On the other hand (1) immediately implies the so-called local Maxwell compactness property, i.e., the compactness of the embedding

$$\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega_{\mathsf{ext}}) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega_{\mathsf{ext}}) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{L}^2_{\mathsf{loc}}(\Omega_{\mathsf{ext}}). \tag{2}$$

(2) is the main and most important tool for showing, e.g., polynomially weighted Maxwell inequalities and Helmholtz decompositions or the validity of Eidus' principles of limiting absorption and limiting amplitude [3, 4, 5], which are fundamental in the theory of static or time-harmonic Maxwell's equations in exterior domains, see e.g. [9, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 8, 10, 11, 13, 12, 14].

2. Notation, preliminaries and outline of the proof

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a domain, i.e., an open and connected set. We introduce the function spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{C}^{0,1}(\Omega) &:= \{u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} : u \text{ Lipschitz continuous}\},\\
\mathsf{C}^{k}(\Omega) &:= \{u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} : u \text{ is k-times continuously partially differentiable}\},\\
\mathsf{C}^{\infty}(\Omega) &:= \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathsf{C}^{k}(\Omega),\\
\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}(\Omega) &:= \left\{u \in \mathsf{C}^{0,1}(\Omega) : \operatorname{supp} u \Subset \Omega\right\},\\
\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}(\Omega) &:= \{u \in \mathsf{C}^{\infty}(\Omega) : \operatorname{supp} u \Subset \Omega\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta \Subset \Omega$ means $\overline{\Theta}$ is compact and a subset of Ω. The usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces will be denoted by

$$\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega), \quad \mathsf{L}^2_\perp(\Omega) := \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{R}^\perp, \qquad \mathsf{H}^1(\Omega), \quad \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Omega) := \mathsf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{R}^\perp,$$

where $\perp$ means orthogonality in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$. We also introduce the Sobolev (Hilbert) spaces

$$\mathsf{R}(\Omega) := \left\{E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) : \operatorname{rot} E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)\right\}, \quad \mathsf{D}(\Omega) := \left\{E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) : \operatorname{div} E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)\right\}$$

in the distributional sense and define the test functions or vector fields

$$\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) := \{\varphi|_\Omega : \varphi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3),\ \operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{supp}\varphi, \Gamma_\tau) > 0\}$$

and

$$\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) := \{\varphi|_\Omega : \varphi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^3),\ \operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{supp}\varphi, \Gamma_\tau) > 0\}.$$

Note that $\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}_{\emptyset} = \mathsf{C}^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}_{\emptyset} = \mathsf{C}^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega})$. Now define

$$\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) := \overline{\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)}^{\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega)}, \quad \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) := \overline{\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)}^{\mathsf{R}(\Omega)}, \quad \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) := \overline{\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)}^{\mathsf{D}(\Omega)} \tag{3}$$

as closures of test functions respectively fields. For $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma$ (resp. $\Gamma_\nu = \Gamma$) we set

$$\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1(\Omega) := \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \quad \mathring{\mathsf{R}}(\Omega) := \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \quad \mathring{\mathsf{D}}(\Omega) := \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega).$$

Moreover, we define the closed subspaces

$$\mathsf{R}_0(\Omega) := \left\{E \in \mathsf{R}(\Omega) : \operatorname{rot} E = 0\right\}, \quad \mathsf{D}_0(\Omega) := \left\{E \in \mathsf{D}(\Omega) : \operatorname{div} E = 0\right\}$$

as well as $\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) := \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \mathsf{R}_0(\Omega)$ and $\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) := \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \mathsf{D}_0(\Omega)$. Furthermore, we introduce the weak spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) &:= \left\{u \in \mathsf{H}^1(\Omega) : \langle u, \operatorname{div}\Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = -\langle \nabla u, \Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} \text{ for all } \Phi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)\right\},\\
\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) &:= \left\{E \in \mathsf{R}(\Omega) : \langle E, \operatorname{rot}\Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \langle \operatorname{rot} E, \Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} \text{ for all } \Phi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)\right\},\\
\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) &:= \left\{H \in \mathsf{D}(\Omega) : \langle H, \nabla\varphi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = -\langle \operatorname{div} H, \varphi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)\right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and again for $\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma$ (resp. $\Gamma_\nu = \Gamma$) we set

$$\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\Omega) := \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \quad \mathring{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) := \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \quad \mathring{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega) := \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega).$$

In (3) and (4) homogeneous scalar, tangential and normal traces on Γ_τ, respectively Γ_ν, are generalized.

Remark 2.1.

(i) *In definitions* (3) *and* (4) $\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ *and* $\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ *can be replaced (by mollification) by* $\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ *and* $\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$*, respectively.*

(ii) *In* (4) $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ *and* $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ *can be replaced (by continuity) by* $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$, $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ *and* $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^{1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$, *respectively. In the special case of no boundary conditions, we need for this continuity argument the density of* $\mathsf{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ *resp.* $\mathsf{C}^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ *in* $\mathsf{D}(\Omega)$, $\mathsf{R}(\Omega)$ *and* $\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega)$, *respectively. These densities hold e.g. if* Ω *has the segment property, which is very weak and basically means that* Γ *is just continuous.*

Moreover we set

$$\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) := \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \mathsf{R}_0(\Omega), \quad \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) := \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \mathsf{D}_0(\Omega).$$

Note that by switching Γ_τ and Γ_ν we can define the respective boundary conditions on the other part of the boundary as well.

Lemma 2.2. *The following inclusions hold:*

(i) $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^{1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}{}^{1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \quad \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \quad \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$

(ii) $\nabla \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^{1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega), \quad \nabla \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}{}^{1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega)$

(iii) $\operatorname{rot} \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega), \quad \operatorname{rot} \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega)$

Later we will show that in fact for all these spaces the strong and weak definitions of the boundary conditions coincide, i.e.,

$$\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^{1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}{}^{1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \quad \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \quad \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) = \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega), \tag{5}$$

which is an important feature of these Sobolev spaces. In case of full boundary conditions this can be seen from the following perspective: Define the unbounded linear rotation operator

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \operatorname{Rot} & : & \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{\infty}(\Omega) \subset \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \\ & & E & \longmapsto & \operatorname{rot} E \end{array}.$$

By its closure

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \overline{\operatorname{Rot}} & : & \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}(\Omega) \subset \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \\ & & E & \longmapsto & \operatorname{rot} E \end{array}$$

the differential operator 'rot' is extended to elements of $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}(\Omega)$ and its adjoint

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \operatorname{Rot}^* & : & \mathsf{R}(\Omega) \subset \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \\ & & H & \longmapsto & \operatorname{rot} H \end{array}$$

further generalizes the operator to the larger space $\mathsf{R}(\Omega)$. We have $\operatorname{Rot} \subset \overline{\operatorname{Rot}} \subset \operatorname{Rot}^*$. Moreover

$$\begin{array}{rccc} (\operatorname{Rot}^*)^* & : & \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) \subset \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \\ & & E & \longmapsto & \operatorname{rot} E \end{array}$$

and since $\overline{\operatorname{Rot}} = (\operatorname{Rot}^*)^*$ we in particular have

$$\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) = D((\operatorname{Rot}^*)^*) = D(\overline{\operatorname{Rot}}) = \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}(\Omega),$$

without any assumptions about the regularity (or boundedness) of Ω or $\partial\Omega$. Analogously we have Grad, $\overline{\operatorname{Grad}}$, Grad^* and $\overline{\operatorname{Grad}} = (\operatorname{Grad}^*)^*$ for the gradient operator '∇' as well as Div, $\overline{\operatorname{Div}}$, Div^* and $\overline{\operatorname{Div}} = (\operatorname{Div}^*)^*$ for the divergence operator 'div'. This way we also get

$$\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^{1}(\Omega) = \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}{}^{1}(\Omega), \quad \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}(\Omega) = \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega).$$

In case of mixed boundary conditions we may consider the operator

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \operatorname{Rot} & : & \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{\infty}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \\ & & E & \longmapsto & \operatorname{rot} E \end{array},$$

its closure

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \overline{\operatorname{Rot}} & : & \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \\ & & E & \longmapsto & \operatorname{rot} E \end{array},$$



FIGURE 1. Mappings ϕ_k and ψ_k between a ball U_k and the cube B.

and its adjoint

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathrm{Rot}^* & : & \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \subset \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \\ & & H & \longmapsto & \mathrm{rot}\, H \end{array} .$$

Then the second adjoint is again the rotation rot. Its domain of definition $D((\mathrm{Rot}^*)^*)$ is the space of all $E \in \mathsf{R}(\Omega)$, such that

$$\forall\, \Phi \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \qquad \langle E, \mathrm{rot}\, \Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \langle \mathrm{rot}\, E, \Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)},$$

for which it is not clear if it coincides with $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$, since the test fields are a priori allowed to be taken not only from $\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ but also from the possibly larger space $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$. The same problem occurs for the operators '∇' and 'div'. Therefore, the equalities (5) have to be shown by hand.

2.1. **Lipschitz domains.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with boundary $\Gamma := \partial\Omega$. We introduce the setting we will be working in. Define (cf. Figure 2)

$$B := (-1,1)^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \qquad B_\pm := \{x \in B : \pm x_3 > 0\}, \qquad B_0 := \{x \in B : x_3 = 0\},$$
$$B_{0,\pm} := \{x \in B_0 : \pm x_1 > 0\}, \qquad B_{0,0} := \{x \in B_0 : x_1 = 0\}.$$

Definition 2.3. *Ω is called weak Lipschitz, if the boundary Γ is a Lipschitz submanifold, i.e., if there is a finite open covering $U_1, \dots, U_K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ of Γ and vector fields $\phi_k : U_k \to B$, such that for $k = 1, \dots, K$*

- **(i)** *$\phi_k \in \mathsf{C}^{0,1}(U_k, B)$ is bijective and $\psi_k := \phi_k^{-1} \in \mathsf{C}^{0,1}(B, U_k)$,*
- **(ii)** *$\phi_k(U_k \cap \Omega) = B_-$*

hold.

Remark 2.4. *For $k = 1, \dots, K$ we have $\phi_k(U_k \setminus \overline{\Omega}) = B_+$ and $\phi_k(U_k \cap \Gamma) = B_0$.*

Definition 2.5. *Let Ω be weak Lipschitz. A relatively open subset Γ_τ of Γ is called weak Lipschitz, if Γ_τ is a Lipschitz submanifold of Γ, i.e., there is an open covering $U_1, \dots, U_k \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ of Γ and vector fields $\phi_k := U_k \to B$, such that for $k = 1, \dots, K$ and in addition to (i), (ii) in Definition 2.3 one of*

- **(iii)** *$U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau = \emptyset$,*
- **(iii′)** *$U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau = U_k \cap \Gamma \quad \Rightarrow \quad \phi_k(U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau) = B_0$,*
- **(iii″)** *$\emptyset \neq U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau \neq U_k \cap \Gamma \quad \Rightarrow \quad \phi_k(U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau) = B_{0,-}$*

holds. We define $\Gamma_\nu := \Gamma \setminus \overline{\Gamma}_\tau$ to be the relatively open complement of Γ_τ.

Definition 2.6. *A pair (Ω, Γ_τ) conforming to Definitions 2.3 and 2.5 will be called weak Lipschitz.*

Remark 2.7. *For the cases (iii), (iii′) and (iii″)in Definition 2.5 we further have*

- **(iii)** *$U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau = \emptyset \;\Rightarrow\; U_k \cap \Gamma_\nu = U_k \cap \Gamma \;\Rightarrow\; \phi_k(U_k \cap \Gamma_\nu) = B_0$,*
- **(iii′)** *$U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau = U_k \cap \Gamma \;\Rightarrow\; U_k \cap \Gamma_\nu = \emptyset$,*
- **(iii″)** *$\emptyset \neq U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau \neq U_k \cap \Gamma \;\Rightarrow\; \emptyset \neq U_k \cap \Gamma_\nu \neq U_k \cap \Gamma \;\Rightarrow\; \phi_k(U_k \cap \Gamma_\nu) = B_{0,+}$ and $\phi_k(U_k \cap \overline{\Gamma}_\tau \cap \overline{\Gamma}_\nu) = B_{0,0}$.*

In the literature a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is called (strong) Lipschitz, if there is an open covering $U_1, \dots, U_K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and rigid body motions $R_k = A_k + a_k$, A_k orthogonal, $a_k \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $k = 1, \dots, K$, such that with $\xi_k \in \mathsf{C}^{0,1}(I^2, I)$, $k = 1, \dots, K$, and $I = (-1, 1)$

$$R_k(U_k \cap \Omega) = \{x \in B : x_3 < \xi_k(x')\}, \quad x' = (x_1, x_2),$$

holds. Then $R_k(U_k \cap \Gamma) = \{x \in B : x_3 = \xi_k(x')\}$. A relatively open subset $\Gamma_\tau \subset \Gamma$ is called (strong) Lipschitz, if with $\zeta_k \in \mathsf{C}^{0,1}(I, I)$

$$\emptyset \neq U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau \neq U_k \cap \Gamma \quad \Rightarrow \quad R_k(U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau) = \{x \in B : x_3 = \xi_k(x'),\ x_1 < \zeta_k(x_2)\}$$

holds.With this $R_k(U_k \setminus \overline{\Omega}) = \{x \in B : x_3 > \xi_k(x')\}$ and for $\emptyset \neq U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau \neq U_k \cap \Gamma$

$$R_k(U_k \cap \Gamma_\nu) = \{x \in B : x_3 = \xi_k(x'),\ x_1 > \zeta_k(x_2)\},$$
$$R_k(U_k \cap \overline{\Gamma}_\tau \cap \overline{\Gamma}_\nu) = \{x \in B : x_3 = \xi_k(x'),\ x_1 = \zeta_k(x_2)\}.$$

Clearly it holds

- Ω strong Lipschitz $\quad \Rightarrow \quad$ Ω weak Lipschitz,
- Ω strong Lipschitz and Γ_τ strong Lipschitz $\quad \Rightarrow \quad$ (Ω, Γ_τ) weak Lipschitz pair,

as by setting

$$\varphi_k : U_k \to B\ ,\ \varphi_k(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - \zeta(x_2) \\ x_2 \\ x_3 - \xi(x') \end{bmatrix} \phi_k := \varphi_k \circ R_k, \quad \psi_k := \phi_k^{-1}$$

we can define Lipschitz transformations as in Definitions 2.3 and 2.5.

For later purposes we introduce special notations for the half-cube domain

$$\Xi := B_-, \quad \gamma := \partial \Xi \tag{6}$$

and its relatively open boundary parts γ_τ and $\gamma_\nu := \gamma \setminus \overline{\gamma_\tau}$. We will only consider the cases

$$\gamma_\nu = \emptyset, \quad \gamma_\nu = B_0, \quad \gamma_\nu = B_{0,+} \tag{7}$$

and we note that Ξ and γ_τ are strong Lipschitz.

2.2. **Outline of the proof.** Let (Ω, Γ_τ) be a weak Lipschitz pair for a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

- As a first step, we show with elementary arguments $\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$, i.e., for the H^1-spaces the strong and weak definitions of the boundary conditions coincide.
- In the second and essential step, we construct various H^1-potentials on simple domains, mainly for the half-cube Ξ from (6) with the special boundary conditions (7), i.e.,

$$\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) = \nabla \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi), \quad \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) = \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) = \operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi), \quad \mathsf{L}^2(\Xi) = \operatorname{div} \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi).$$

- In the third step it is shown that the strong and weak definitions of the boundary conditions also coincide for the divergence and rotation spaces on the half-cube Ξ from (6) with the special boundary conditions (7), i.e.,

$$\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) = \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi), \quad \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) = \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi). \tag{8}$$

- The fourth step proves the compact embedding on the half-cube Ξ from (6) with the special boundary conditions (7), i.e.,

$$\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi) \cap \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{L}^2(\Xi) \tag{9}$$

  is compact.
- In the fifth step, (8) is established for weak Lipschitz domains, i.e.

$$\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \quad \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega).$$

- In the last step, we finally prove the compact embedding (9) for weak Lipschitz pairs, i.e.,

$$\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \tag{10}$$

  is compact.

3. H^1-POTENTIALS

In this section H^1-potentials for irrotational or solenoidal L^2-vector fields or L^2-functions are obtained. For illustration we will first give the proofs for the half-cube Ξ from (6) with the special boundary conditions (7) which will also later be used as the image of the coordinate transformation that flattens out the boundary of a weak Lipschitz pair, and then show how to adjust them for more general domains.

We start out with a density result for H^1-functions, i.e., the strong and weak definitions of the boundary conditions coincide for H^1-functions, which is first proved for a flat boundary and then generalized to weak Lipschitz pairs. The proof can be found in [6, Lemma 2, Lemma 3]. For the convenience of the reader we repeat and present a simplified proof, using our notation, in the appendix.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain and (Ω, Γ_τ) be a weak Lipschitz pair as well as*

$$\overset{\circ}{\underset{\sim}{\mathsf{H}}}{}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) := \big\{u \in \mathsf{H}^1(\Omega) : u|_{\Gamma_\tau} = 0\big\}.$$

Then $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}{}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \overset{\circ}{\underset{\sim}{\mathsf{H}}}{}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$.

3.1. H^1-potentials without boundary conditions.

The next three lemmas ensure the existence of H^1-potentials without boundary conditions. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ to be a bounded domain.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Ω be strong Lipschitz and simply connected. Then there exists a continuous linear operator*

$$\mathcal{T}_\nabla : \mathsf{R}_0(\Omega) \to \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

such that for all $E \in \mathsf{R}_0(\Omega)$

$$\nabla(\mathcal{T}_\nabla E) = E \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Especially $\mathsf{R}_0(\Omega) = \nabla\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega) = \nabla\mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Omega)$ and the potential depends continuously on the data. In particular these are closed subspaces of $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. It is classical (standard Helmholtz decomposition) that $\mathsf{R}_0(\Omega) = \nabla\mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Omega)$ holds. Using Poincaré's inequality the potential depends continuously on the data. By Calderon's extension theorem we can extend any potential in $\mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Omega)$ continuously to $\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let Ω be strong Lipschitz, such that $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ is connected (i.e. Γ is connected). Then there exists a continuous linear operator*[1]

$$\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r} : \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \to \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{D}_0(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

such that for all $H \in \mathsf{D}_0(\Omega)$

$$\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r} H) = H \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Especially $\mathsf{D}_0(\Omega) = \operatorname{rot}\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega) = \operatorname{rot}\big(\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathsf{D}_0(\Omega)\big)$ and the potential depends continuously on the data. In particular these are closed subspaces of $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$.

A proof can be found in [6, Lemma 1]. For the convenience of the reader we repeat the proof using our notation.

Proof. Let $\overline{\Omega}$ be a subset of $B_\rho(0)$, the ball with radius $\rho > 0$ centered at the origin. Define $\Theta := B_\rho(0) \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and let $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{H}^1(\Theta) \to \mathsf{H}^1(B_\rho(0))$ be a continuous linear, e.g. Calderon's, extension operator. Because Θ is connected, $\|\nabla \cdot\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Theta)}$ defines a norm on $\mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Theta)$, which is equivalent to the $\mathsf{H}^1(\Theta)$-norm by Poincaré's inequality. Now define for $H \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ the operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \to \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Theta)$ by

$$\forall\, \psi \in \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Theta) \qquad \langle \nabla(\mathcal{A}H), \nabla\psi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Theta)} = \langle H, \nabla(\mathcal{E}\psi)\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)},$$

which by the Lax-Milgram lemma is well defined, linear and continuous. Next define for $H \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ the linear and continuous operator $\mathcal{B} : \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \to \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by

$$\mathcal{B}H := \begin{cases} H & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\nabla(\mathcal{A}H) & \text{in } \Theta, \\ 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_\rho(0)}. \end{cases}$$

[1] Let X, Y, Z be normed spaces. We call an operator $T : X \to Y \cap Z$ continuous, if $T_Y : X \to Y$ and $T_Z : X \to Z$ are continuous.

For the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B}H) \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

holds and thus $\hat{H} \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with

$$\hat{H} := \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2} \times \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B}H), \quad \xi(x) := \mathrm{id}(x) := x.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{r}} H := i\mathcal{F}^{-1}\hat{H} \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

and since $\xi \cdot \hat{H} = 0$,

$$\operatorname{div} \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{r}} H = -\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\xi \cdot \hat{H}) = 0$$

follows, i.e. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{r}} H \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{D}_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{r}} : \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \to \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{D}_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a linear and continuous operator. Now, suppose $\operatorname{div} H = 0$ in Ω. Let $\psi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\alpha := |\Theta|^{-1} \int_\Theta \psi$ and $\tilde{\psi} := \psi|_\Theta - \alpha \in \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Theta)$, where $|\Theta|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of Θ. Then

$$\langle \mathcal{B}H, \nabla\psi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \langle H, \nabla\psi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} - \langle \nabla(\mathcal{A}H), \nabla\tilde{\psi}\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Theta)} = \langle H, \nabla(\psi - \mathcal{E}\tilde{\psi})\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \langle H, \nabla\varphi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)},$$

where $\varphi := \psi - \mathcal{E}\tilde{\psi} - \alpha \in \mathsf{H}^1(\Omega)$. Since φ vanishes on Θ, we have $\varphi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1(\Omega)$. $\operatorname{div} H = 0$ in Ω yields

$$\forall\, \psi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \qquad \langle \mathcal{B}H, \nabla\psi\rangle = 0,$$

i.e., $\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{B}H) = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Thus $\xi \cdot \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B}H) = 0$. But then $\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{r}} H) = \mathcal{B}H$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{r}} H) = -\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\xi \times \hat{H}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}H$$

and in particular $\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{r}} H) = H$ in Ω. □

Using the same method, a divergence potential for an L^2 function can be obtained.

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a continuous linear operator*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{d}} : \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \to \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{R}_0(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

such that for all $h \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{d}} h) = h \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Especially $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) = \operatorname{div} \mathsf{H}^1(\Omega) = \operatorname{div}\big(\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathsf{R}_0(\Omega)\big)$ *and the potential depends continuously on the data. In particular these are closed subspaces of* $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{h}$ be the extension of h into $\mathbb{R}^3$ by zero. For the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{F}(\tilde{h}) \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

holds and thus $\hat{H} \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with

$$\hat{H} := \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2}\mathcal{F}(\tilde{h}).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{d}} h := -i\mathcal{F}^{-1}\hat{H} \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

and since $\xi \times \hat{H} = 0$,

$$\operatorname{rot} \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{d}} h = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\xi \times \hat{H}) = 0$$

follows, i.e. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{d}} h \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{R}_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{d}}$ is a continuous linear operator from $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ to $\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{R}_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Finally, $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{d}} h = \tilde{h}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{d}} h) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\xi \cdot \hat{H}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}\tilde{h}$$

and in particular $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{d}} h = h$ in Ω. □

Remark 3.5. *Let* $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ *be a domain with* $\overline{\Omega} \subset \Theta$. *Using a cutting technique we can choose continuous linear potential operators* $\mathcal{T}_\nabla : \mathsf{R}_0(\Omega) \to \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1(\Theta)$, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{r}} : \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \to \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1(\Theta)$ *and* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{d}} : \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \to \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1(\Theta)$ *with* $\nabla(\mathcal{T}_\nabla E) = E$, $\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{r}} H) = H$ *and* $\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{d}} h) = h$ *for* $E \in \mathsf{R}_0(\Omega)$, $H \in \mathsf{D}_0(\Omega)$ *and* $h \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$, *respectively.*



FIGURE 2. The half-cube $\Xi = B_-$, extended by $\hat{\Xi}$ to the polygonal domain $\tilde{\Xi}$, and the rectangles $\gamma_\nu = B_0$ and $\gamma_\nu = B_{0,+}$.

3.2. **H^1-potentials with boundary conditions.** Now we start constructing H^1-potentials with boundary conditions. Let us recall our special setting on the half-cube

$$\Xi = B_- \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_\nu = \emptyset, \quad \gamma_\nu = B_0 \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_\nu = B_{0,+}.$$

Furthermore, cf. Figure 2, we extend Ξ over γ_ν by

$$\tilde{\Xi} = \operatorname{int}(\overline{\Xi} \cup \overline{\hat{\Xi}}), \qquad \hat{\Xi} := \begin{cases} \{x \in B : x_3 > 0\} = B_+ & , \text{ if } \gamma_\nu = B_0, \\ \{x \in B : x_3 > 0,\, x_1 > 0\} = \{x \in B_+ : x_1 > 0\} =: B_{+,+} & , \text{ if } \gamma_\nu = B_{0,+}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.6. *There exists a continuous linear operator*

$$\mathcal{S}_\nabla : \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) \to \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi),$$

such that for all $E \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi)$

$$\nabla(\mathcal{S}_\nabla E) = E \quad \text{in } \Xi.$$

Especially $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) = \nabla\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ *and the potential depends continuously on the data. In particular these are closed subspaces of* $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$.

Remark 3.7. *The latter theorem is also from* [6, Lemma 4]. *Nevertheless, we will give a modified and simplified proof. Moreover, as the proof will show the result holds for more general domains. Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ *be a bounded and simply connected domain and let* (Ω, Γ_ν) *be a weak Lipschitz pair, such that* Γ_ν *is connected. Then a potential operator* $\mathcal{S}_\nabla : \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \to \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ *exists and*

$$\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) = \nabla\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega).$$

If Ω *is even strong Lipschitz, a continuous potential operator* $\mathcal{S}_\nabla : \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \to \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ *can be chosen.*

Proof. The case $\gamma_\nu = \emptyset$ is well known, i.e., $\mathcal{R}_0(\Xi) = \mathsf{R}_0(\Xi) = \nabla\mathsf{H}^1(\Xi) = \nabla\mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Xi)$, see Lemma 3.2. The other two cases can be treated together. We have

$$\nabla\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) \subset \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi)$$

and need to show $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) \subset \nabla\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$. Suppose $E \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi)$ and define $\tilde{E} \in \mathsf{L}^2(\tilde{\Xi})$ by

$$\tilde{E} := \begin{cases} E & \text{in } \Xi, \\ 0 & \text{in } \hat{\Xi}. \end{cases}$$

It follows $\operatorname{rot} \tilde{E} = 0$ in $\tilde{\Xi}$, as for any $\Phi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty(\tilde{\Xi})$, due to $\overline{\gamma}_\tau \subset \partial\tilde{\Xi}$, also $\Phi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi)$ and thus

$$0 = \langle E, \operatorname{rot}\Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} = \langle \tilde{E}, \operatorname{rot}\Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\tilde{\Xi})},$$

which means $\tilde{E} \in \mathsf{R}_0(\tilde{\Xi})$. Because $\tilde{\Xi}$ is simply connected, there exists a potential $u \in \mathsf{H}^1(\tilde{\Xi})$ with

$$\tilde{E} = \nabla u \text{ in } \tilde{\Xi}.$$

In particular $\nabla u = 0$ in $\hat{\Xi}$ which implies $u = c$ in $\hat{\Xi}$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$\tilde{u} := u - c \in \mathsf{H}^1(\tilde{\Xi}).$$

Note that $\tilde{u} = 0$ in $\hat{\Xi}$, so $\tilde{u}|_{\gamma_\nu} = 0$ follows, which means $\tilde{u} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ and with Lemma 3.1 ($\Omega = \Xi$, $\Gamma_\tau = \gamma_\nu$) we conclude $\tilde{u} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$. Moreover $\nabla\tilde{u} = \nabla u = E$ in Ξ. By Poincaré's inequality the potential $\tilde{u}$ depends continuously on the data E. Using Calderon's extension theorem we can extend any potential in $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ continuously to $\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. □

Next up is the existence of an H^1-potential for divergence free fields subject to the special normal boundary condition. This Theorem is a modification of [6, Theorem 1], where the potential is only in $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}$.

Theorem 3.8. *There exists a continuous linear operator*

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} : \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) \to \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi),$$

such that for all $H \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi)$

$$\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H) = H \quad \textit{in } \Xi.$$

Especially $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) = \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) = \operatorname{rot}\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) = \operatorname{rot}\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) = \operatorname{rot}\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ *and the* $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$*-potential depends continuously on the data. In particular these are closed subspaces of* $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. We start with the case $\gamma_\nu = \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.3 $\mathcal{D}_0(\Xi) = \mathsf{D}_0(\Xi) = \operatorname{rot}\mathsf{H}^1(\Xi)$ and we can set $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H := \mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r} H \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H) = H$ in Ξ. The other two cases can be treated together. Suppose $H \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi)$ and define $\tilde{H} \in \mathsf{L}^2(\tilde{\Xi})$ by

$$\tilde{H} := \begin{cases} H & \text{in } \Xi, \\ 0 & \text{in } \hat{\Xi}. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

It follows $\operatorname{div}\tilde{H} = 0$ in $\tilde{\Xi}$, as for any $\psi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^\infty(\tilde{\Xi})$, due to $\overline{\gamma}_\tau \subset \partial\tilde{\Xi}$, also $\psi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^\infty_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi)$ and thus

$$0 = \langle H, \nabla\psi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} = \langle \tilde{H}, \nabla\psi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\tilde{\Xi})},$$

which means $\tilde{H} \in \mathsf{D}_0(\tilde{\Xi})$. Because $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\tilde{\Xi}}$ is connected, Lemma 3.3 yields $\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H} \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{D}_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H}) = \tilde{H}$ in $\tilde{\Xi}$. In particular $\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H} \in \mathsf{H}^1(\hat{\Xi})$ and $\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H}) = 0$ in $\hat{\Xi}$. Because $\hat{\Xi}$ is simply connected, there exists a unique $\varphi \in \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\hat{\Xi})$ with

$$\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H} = \nabla\varphi \quad \text{in } \hat{\Xi}.$$

Since $\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H} \in \mathsf{H}^1(\hat{\Xi})$ we have $\varphi \in \mathsf{H}^2(\hat{\Xi})$. Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{H}^2(\hat{\Xi}) \to \mathsf{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a continuous, linear extension operator, for example Calderon's. Then

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} & : & \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \\ & & H & \longmapsto & \mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H} - \nabla(\mathcal{E}\varphi) \end{array}$$

is linear and continuous. Since $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H = 0$ in $\hat{\Xi}$, we have $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H|_{\gamma_\nu} = 0$, which means $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$. Hence $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ by Lemma 3.1. Moreover

$$\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H) = H \quad \text{in } \Xi,$$

as $\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H) = \operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H}) = \tilde{H}$ even in $\tilde{\Xi}$. Recalling Lemma 2.2 we see

$$\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) \subset \operatorname{rot}\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) \subset \operatorname{rot}\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi)$$

and $\operatorname{rot}\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) \subset \operatorname{rot}\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi)$, completing the proof. □

Remark 3.9. *Inspection of the above proof shows that the latter theorem holds for more general domains. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded strong Lipschitz domain, such that $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ is connected, and let $\Gamma_\nu = \bigcup_{k=1}^K \Gamma_{\nu,k}$, $K \in \mathbb{N}$, with disjoint, relatively open and simply connected strong Lipschitz surface patches $\Gamma_{\nu,k} \subset \Gamma$, where $\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma_{\nu,k}, \Gamma_{\nu,\ell}) > 0$ for all $1 \le k \ne \ell \le K$. Now extend Ω over $\Gamma_{\nu,k}$ by $\hat{\Omega}_k$, let $\tilde{\Omega}$ denote the interior of $\overline{\Omega} \cup \overline{\hat{\Omega}}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \overline{\hat{\Omega}}_K$ and define $\tilde{H}$ like in (11). Then $\tilde{H} \in \mathsf{D}_0(\tilde{\Omega})$. Lemma 3.3 yields $\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H} \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{D}_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H}) = \tilde{H}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$. Again $\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H}) = 0$ in $\hat{\Omega}_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, K$. Continuing analogously and since the $\hat{\Omega}_k$ are simply connected, there exist unique potentials $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_K \in \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\hat{\Omega}_k)$ with $\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H} = \nabla\varphi_k$ in $\hat{\Omega}_k$. As before $\varphi_k \in \mathsf{H}^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)$. Let $\mathcal{E}_k : \mathsf{H}^2(\hat{\Omega}_k) \to \mathsf{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $k = 1, \dots, K$, be extension operators. By cutting off appropriately it can be arranged that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{E}_k\varphi_k) \cap \overline{\hat{\Omega}}_\ell = \emptyset$ for all $1 \le k \ne \ell \le K$. We define*

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H := \mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H} - \sum_{k=1}^K \nabla(\mathcal{E}_k\varphi_k) \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Again from $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H = 0$ in $\hat{\Omega}_k$, $k = 1, \dots, K$, $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H|_{\Gamma_\nu} = 0$ follows, which means $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega).$$

Moreover $\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H) = H$ in Ω, as $\operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r} H) = \operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{r}\tilde{H}) = \tilde{H}$ even in $\tilde{\Omega}$.

Theorem 3.10. *There exists a continuous linear operator*

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{d} : \mathsf{L}^2(\Xi) \to \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi),$$

such that for all $h \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{d} h) = h \quad \text{in } \Xi.$$

Especially $\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi) = \operatorname{div} \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) = \operatorname{div} \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) = \operatorname{div} \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ and the $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$-potential depends continuously on the data. In particular these are closed subspaces of $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. The case $\gamma_\nu = \emptyset$ immediately follows from Lemma 3.4, as for $h \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$ we define

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{d} h := \mathcal{T}_\mathsf{d} h \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{R}_0(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

The other two cases can again be handled together. Instead of extending Ξ over γ_ν by a rectangle (as before), we extend it by a bubble in a way that $\hat{\Xi}$ has a C^3-boundary and $\overline{\hat{\Xi}} \cap \overline{\Xi} = \overline{\gamma}_\nu$, cf. Figure 3. This smoothness of $\hat{\Xi}$ allows for a later application of a standard Maxwell regularity result [23]. Now let $h \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$ and define $\tilde{h} \in \mathsf{L}^2(\tilde{\Xi})$ by

$$\tilde{h} := \begin{cases} h & \text{in } \Xi, \\ 0 & \text{in } \hat{\Xi}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.4 yields

$$\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{d}\tilde{h} \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{R}_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

with

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{d}\tilde{h}) = \tilde{h} \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Xi}.$$

In particular $\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{d}\tilde{h} \in \mathsf{H}^1(\hat{\Xi})$ and $\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{d}\tilde{h}) = 0$ in $\hat{\Xi}$. Because $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \hat{\Xi}$ is connected, by Lemma 3.3 there exists a potential $\Phi \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathsf{D}_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with

$$\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{d}\tilde{h} = \operatorname{rot}\Phi \quad \text{in } \hat{\Xi},$$

so $\operatorname{rot}\Phi \in \mathsf{H}^1(\hat{\Xi})$. Let π be the Helmholtz projector[2] onto solenoidal fields $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_0(\hat{\Xi})$. With $\Phi \in \mathsf{H}^1(\hat{\Xi}) \subset \mathsf{R}(\hat{\Xi})$ it follows $\pi\Phi \in \mathsf{R}(\hat{\Xi}) \cap \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_0(\hat{\Xi})$ and $\operatorname{rot}\pi\Phi = \operatorname{rot}\Phi \in \mathsf{H}^1(\hat{\Xi})$. Thus $\pi\Phi \in \mathsf{H}^2(\hat{\Xi})$ by standard Maxwell

[2]For $F \in \mathsf{L}^2(\hat{\Xi})$ solve by Lax-Milgram

$$\forall\, \varphi \in \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\hat{\Xi}) \qquad \langle \nabla u, \nabla\varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\hat{\Xi})} = \langle E, \nabla\varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\hat{\Xi})}$$

with $u \in \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\hat{\Xi})$. Then the projector π is given by $\pi E := E - \nabla u \in \big(\nabla \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\hat{\Xi})\big)^\perp$ since $\big(\nabla \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\hat{\Xi})\big)^\perp = \big(\nabla \mathsf{H}^1(\hat{\Xi})\big)^\perp = \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_0(\hat{\Xi})$.



FIGURE 3. The half-cube $\Xi = B_-$, extended by a C^3-domain $\hat{\Xi}$ to $\tilde{\Xi}$, and the rectangles $\gamma_\nu = B_0$ and $\gamma_\nu = B_{0,+}$.

regularity [23]. Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{H}^2(\hat{\Xi}) \to \mathsf{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a continuous, linear, e.g. Calderon's, extension operator. Define

$$\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{d} h := \mathcal{T}_\mathsf{d} \tilde{h} - \operatorname{rot}(\mathcal{E}\pi\Phi) \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Then $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{d} : \mathsf{L}^2(\Xi) \to \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is linear and continuous. With $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{d} h = 0$ in $\hat{\Xi}$ we see $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{d} h|_{\gamma_\nu} = 0$, which means $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{d} h \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ and with Lemma 3.1 $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{d} h \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$. Moreover

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{d} h) = h \quad \text{in } \Xi,$$

as $\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{d} h) = \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{T}_\mathsf{d} \tilde{h}) = \tilde{h}$ even in $\tilde{\Xi}$. □

Remark 3.11. *Theorem 3.10 again holds for more general domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. For example, Ω can be a bounded strong Lipschitz domain with*

$$\Gamma_\nu = \dot{\bigcup_{k=1}^{K}} \Gamma_{\nu,k}, \quad K \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma_{\nu,k}, \Gamma_{\nu,\ell}) > 0$ for all $1 \le k \ne \ell \le K$ and $\Gamma_{\nu,k}$ are C^3-boundary patches allowing for C^3-regular extensions $\hat{\Omega}_{\nu,k}$ having connected complements $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\hat{\Omega}}_{\nu,k}$. If $\Gamma_\nu = \Gamma$, then the right hand side h must have vanishing mean value, i.e., $h \in \mathsf{L}^2_\perp(\Omega)$.

We note that in the case of $\gamma_\nu = \gamma$ resp. $\Gamma_\nu = \Gamma$ Theorem 3.10 resp. Remark 3.11 is a well known result for bounded Lipschitz domains[3]. An elegant proof can be found in [21, Lemma 2.1.1]. See also [1, Lemma 3.2] for more recent results including mixed boundary conditions, where it has been shown that Theorem 3.10 resp. Remark 3.11 even holds for general bounded strong Lipschitz pairs (Ξ, γ_ν) resp. (Ω, Γ_ν).

3.3. **Weak equals strong for the half-cube in terms of boundary conditions.** Now the two main density results immediately follow. We note that this has already been proved for the $\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega)$-spaces in Lemma 3.1, i.e., $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}{}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.12. $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) = \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ *and* $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) = \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$.

Proof. Suppose $E \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ and thus $\operatorname{rot} E \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\tau,0}(\Xi)$. By Theorem 3.8 there exists $\hat{E} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ with $\operatorname{rot} \hat{E} = \operatorname{rot} E$. By Theorem 3.6 we get $E - \hat{E} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) = \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi)$ and hence $E \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$. Analogously let $H \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ and thus $\operatorname{div} H \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$. By Theorem 3.10 there exists $\hat{H} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ with $\operatorname{div} \hat{H} = \operatorname{div} H$. By Theorem 3.8 we get $H - \hat{H} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) = \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi)$ and hence $H \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$. □

[3] Again, in this case h must have vanishing mean value.

4. The compact embedding

4.1. **Compact embedding on the half-cube.** First we show the main result on the half-cube $\Xi = B_-$ with the special boundary patch

$$\gamma_\nu = \emptyset, \quad \gamma_\nu = B_0 \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_\nu = B_{0,+}$$

from the latter section. For this let $\varepsilon \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(\Xi)$ be an admissible matrix field.

Theorem 4.1. *The embedding* $\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$ *is compact.*

Proof. The cases of full boundary conditions, i.e., $\gamma_\tau = \gamma$ or $\gamma_\tau = \emptyset$, are well known, see the introduction. Suppose $\gamma_\nu = B_0$ or $\gamma_\nu = B_{0,+}$. Let $(H_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$. By Riesz' representation theorem[4], for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a unique $u_n \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi)$ with

$$\langle \varepsilon \nabla u_n, \nabla \varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} = \langle \varepsilon H_n, \nabla \varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi). \tag{12}$$

Furthermore $\|u_n\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Xi)} \leq c\|H_n\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)}$ and w.l.o.g. by Rellich's selection theorem (u_n) converges in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$. By definition, (12) together with Theorem 3.8 implies

$$H_n - \nabla u_n \in \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi) = \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi)$$

and $\nabla u_n \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\tau,0}(\Xi)$ by Theorem 3.6, so

$$\tilde{H}_n := H_n - \nabla u_n \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu,0}(\Xi).$$

Now we apply Theorem 3.8 to $\varepsilon\tilde{H}_n$ and define $E_n := \mathcal{S}_\mathsf{f}\varepsilon\tilde{H}_n \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$, which satisfies

$$\|E_n\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Xi)} \leq c\|\tilde{H}_n\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} \leq c\|H_n\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)}.$$

W.l.o.g. by Theorem 3.8 and Rellich's selection theorem (E_n) converges in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$. Moreover, we observe $\operatorname{rot} E_n = \varepsilon\tilde{H}_n$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m)\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} &= \langle \tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m, \operatorname{rot}(E_n - E_m)\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} \\ &= \langle \operatorname{rot}(\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m), E_n - E_m\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} \leq c\|E_n - E_m\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)},\end{aligned}$$

as $\operatorname{rot}\tilde{H}_n = \operatorname{rot} H_n$. Thus $(\tilde{H}_n)$ converges in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$. Moreover, (12) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\nabla(u_n - u_m)\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} &= \langle \varepsilon(H_n - H_m), \nabla(u_n - u_m)\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} \\ &= -\langle \operatorname{div}(\varepsilon(H_n - H_m)), u_n - u_m\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} \leq c\|u_n - u_m\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)}\end{aligned}$$

and hence also (∇u_n) converges in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$, i.e., (u_n) converges in $\mathsf{H}^1(\Xi)$. Therefore, (H_n) converges in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$. □

4.2. **The compact embedding for weak Lipschitz domains.** The aim of this section is to transfer Theorem 4.1 to arbitrary weak Lipschitz pairs (Ω, Γ_τ). We need a technical lemma, our subsequent Lemma 4.2, for a proof see [17, Section 3] or [25, Remark 2]. Let us consider the following situation: Let Θ, $\tilde{\Theta}$ be two domains in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with boundaries $\Upsilon := \partial\Theta$, $\tilde{\Upsilon} := \partial\tilde{\Theta}$ and $\Upsilon_0 \subset \Upsilon$, let's say, relatively open. Moreover, let

$$\phi : \Theta \to \tilde{\Theta}, \qquad \psi := \phi^{-1} : \tilde{\Theta} \to \Theta$$

be Lipschitz diffeomorphisms, this is, $\phi \in \mathsf{C}^{0,1}(\Theta, \tilde{\Theta})$ and $\psi = \phi^{-1} \in \mathsf{C}^{0,1}(\tilde{\Theta}, \Theta)$. Hence there exists a constant c, such that for all $x \in \Theta$ and $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Theta}$

$$0 < c \leq \left|\det \phi'(x)\right|, \left|\det \psi'(\tilde{x})\right| \leq 1/c.$$

Then $\tilde{\Theta} = \phi(\Theta)$, $\tilde{\Upsilon} = \phi(\Upsilon)$ and we define $\tilde{\Upsilon}_0 := \phi(\Upsilon_0)$. To simplify the notations here and throughout this section and the appendix we will use the notation

$$\tilde{u} := u \circ \psi, \qquad \underset{\sim}{v} := v \circ \phi$$

[4]We equip $\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi)$ with the scalar product $\langle \varepsilon\nabla\cdot, \nabla\cdot\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)}$.

both for functions and for vector fields. We set, identify and note

$$J := J_\psi = \psi' \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(\tilde\Theta), \qquad \phi' = J_\phi = J^{-1} \circ \phi = \underset{\sim}{J}^{-1} \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(\Theta).$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $u \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Upsilon_0}(\Theta)$, $E \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Upsilon_0}(\Theta)$ resp. $E \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Upsilon_0}(\Theta)$ and $H \in \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Upsilon_0}(\Theta)$ resp. $H \in \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Upsilon_0}(\Theta)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde u \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\tilde\Upsilon_0}(\tilde\Theta) \qquad & \text{and} \qquad \nabla\tilde u = J^\top \widetilde{\nabla u},\\
J^\top \tilde E \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\tilde\Upsilon_0}(\tilde\Theta) \text{ resp. } \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\tilde\Upsilon_0}(\tilde\Theta) \qquad & \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{rot}(J^\top \tilde E) = (\det J) J^{-1} \widetilde{\operatorname{rot} E},\\
(\det J) J^{-1} \tilde H \in \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\tilde\Upsilon_0}(\tilde\Theta) \text{ resp. } \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\tilde\Upsilon_0}(\tilde\Theta) \qquad & \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{div}((\det J) J^{-1} \tilde H) = \det J \widetilde{\operatorname{div} H}.
\end{aligned}$$

From now on, we make the following

General Assumption: Let (Ω, Γ_τ) be a weak Lipschitz pair as in Definitions 2.3 and 2.5.

We adjust Lemma 4.2 to our situation: Let $U_1, \dots, U_K$ be an open covering of Γ according to Definitions 2.3 and 2.5 and set $U_0 := \Omega$. Therefore $U_0, \dots, U_K$ is an open covering of $\overline{\Omega}$. Moreover let $\chi_k \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty(U_k)$, $k \in \{0, \dots, K\}$, be a partition of unity subordinate to the open covering $U_0, \dots, U_K$. Now suppose $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Omega_k := U_k \cap \Omega, && \Gamma_k := U_k \cap \Gamma, && \Gamma_{\tau,k} := U_k \cap \Gamma_\tau, && \Gamma_{\nu,k} := U_k \cap \Gamma_\nu,\\
&\hat\Gamma_k := \partial\Omega_k, && \Sigma_k := \hat\Gamma_k \setminus \Gamma, && \hat\Gamma_{\tau,k} := \operatorname{int}(\Gamma_{\tau,k} \cup \overline{\Sigma}_k), && \hat\Gamma_{\nu,k} := \operatorname{int}(\Gamma_{\nu,k} \cup \overline{\Sigma}_k),\\
& && \sigma := \gamma \setminus \overline{B}_0, && \hat\gamma_\tau := \operatorname{int}(\gamma_\tau \cup \overline{\sigma}), && \hat\gamma_\nu := \operatorname{int}(\gamma_\nu \cup \overline{\sigma}).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2 will from now on be used with

$$\Theta := \Omega_k, \quad \tilde\Theta := \Xi, \qquad \phi := \phi_k : \Omega_k \to \Xi, \quad \psi := \psi_k : \Xi \to \Omega_k$$

and with one of the following cases

$$\Upsilon_0 := \Gamma_{\tau,k}, \quad \Upsilon_0 := \hat\Gamma_{\tau,k}, \quad \Upsilon_0 := \Gamma_{\nu,k} \quad \text{or} \quad \Upsilon_0 := \hat\Gamma_{\nu,k}.$$

Then $\Upsilon = \hat\Gamma_k$ and $\tilde\Upsilon = \phi_k(\hat\Gamma_k) = \gamma$ as well as (depending on the respective case)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde\Upsilon_0 = \phi_k(\Gamma_{\tau,k}) = \gamma_\tau, && \tilde\Upsilon_0 = \phi_k(\hat\Gamma_{\tau,k}) = \hat\gamma_\tau, && \gamma_\tau \in \{\emptyset, B_0, B_{0,-}\}, && \gamma_\nu = \gamma \setminus \overline{\gamma}_\tau,\\
&\tilde\Upsilon_0 = \phi_k(\Gamma_{\nu,k}) = \gamma_\nu, && \tilde\Upsilon_0 = \phi_k(\hat\Gamma_{\nu,k}) = \hat\gamma_\nu, && \gamma_\nu \in \{\emptyset, B_0, B_{0,+}\}, && \gamma_\tau = \gamma \setminus \overline{\gamma}_\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.3. *Theorems 3.6, 3.8, 3.10 and Remarks 3.7, 3.9, 3.11 as well as Theorems 3.12, 4.1 hold for $\gamma_\nu = B_{0,-}$ without any (substantial) modification as well.*

Lemma 4.4. *Let $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$. For $E \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ and $H \in \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ we have*

$$E \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k), \qquad \chi_k E \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat\Gamma_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k), \qquad H \in \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_{\nu,k}}(\Omega_k), \qquad \chi_k H \in \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\hat\Gamma_{\nu,k}}(\Omega_k).$$

Proof. Let $\Phi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty_{\hat\Gamma_{\nu,k}}(\Omega_k)$. Extending Φ by zero shows $\Phi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ and

$$\langle E, \operatorname{rot}\Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega_k)} = \langle E, \operatorname{rot}\Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \langle \operatorname{rot} E, \Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \langle \operatorname{rot} E, \Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega_k)},$$

hence $E \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k)$. Let $\Phi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty_{\Gamma_{\nu,k}}(\Omega_k)$. Then, $\chi_k\Phi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty_{\hat\Gamma_{\nu,k}}(\Omega_k) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ since $\operatorname{supp}\chi_k \subset U_k$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \chi_k E, \operatorname{rot}\Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega_k)} &= \langle \chi_k E, \operatorname{rot}\Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \langle E, \operatorname{rot}(\chi_k\Phi)\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} - \langle E, \nabla\chi_k \times \Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}\\
&= \langle \operatorname{rot} E, \chi_k\Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \langle \nabla\chi_k \times E, \Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \langle \operatorname{rot}(\chi_k E), \Phi\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega_k)},
\end{aligned}$$

thus $\chi_k E \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat\Gamma_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k)$. Analogously we see $H \in \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_{\nu,k}}(\Omega_k)$ and $\chi_k H \in \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\hat\Gamma_{\nu,k}}(\Omega_k)$. □

Theorem 4.5. $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ *and* $\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Suppose $E \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$. Then $\chi_0 E \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}(\Omega) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ by mollification. Let $k \in \{1,\dots,K\}$. Then $E \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k)$ by Lemma 4.4. Lemma 4.2, Theorem 3.12 (with $\gamma_\nu := \gamma_\tau$) and Remark 4.3 yield with $J_k := J_{\psi_k}$

$$J_k^\top \tilde{E} \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi), \qquad \gamma_\tau = \phi_k(\Gamma_{\tau,k}) \in \{\emptyset, B_0, B_{0,-}\}.$$

Hence, by definition there exists a sequence $(\tilde{A}_{k,\ell}) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi)$ with $\tilde{A}_{k,\ell} \xrightarrow{\ell\to\infty} J_k^\top \tilde{E}$ in $\mathsf{R}(\Xi)$. Therefore $\tilde{\chi}_k \tilde{A}_{k,\ell} \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}_{\hat{\gamma}_\tau}(\Xi)$. Now define

$$E_{k,\ell} := \chi_k \underset{\sim}{J}_k^{-\top} A_{k,\ell} = (\tilde{\chi}_k J_k^{-\top} \tilde{A}_{k,\ell}) \circ \phi_k \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega).$$

Then with Lemma 4.2

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E_{k,\ell} - \chi_k E\|^2_{\mathsf{R}(\Omega)} &= \|E_{k,\ell} - \chi_k E\|^2_{\mathsf{R}(\Omega_k)} = \int_{\Omega_k} \left(\left|E_{k,\ell} - \chi_k E\right|^2 + \left|\operatorname{rot}(E_{k,\ell} - \chi_k E)\right|^2 \right) \\
&= \int_\Xi |\det J_k| \left(\left|\tilde{\chi}_k (J_k^{-\top} \tilde{A}_{k,\ell} - \tilde{E})\right|^2 + \left|\operatorname{rot}\left(\chi_k \widetilde{(\underset{\sim}{J}_k^{-\top} A_{k,\ell}} - E)\right)\right|^2 \right) \\
&= \int_\Xi |\det J_k| \left(\left|\tilde{\chi}_k (J_k^{-\top} \tilde{A}_{k,\ell} - \tilde{E})\right|^2 + \left|(\det J_k)^{-1} J_k \operatorname{rot}(J_k^\top \tilde{\chi}_k (J_k^{-\top} \tilde{A}_{k,\ell} - \tilde{E})\right|^2 \right) \\
&\le c \int_\Xi \left(\left|\tilde{\chi}_k (\tilde{A}_{k,\ell} - J_k^\top \tilde{E})\right|^2 + \left|\operatorname{rot}(\tilde{\chi}_k (\tilde{A}_{k,\ell} - J_k^\top \tilde{E})\right|^2 \right) \\
&\le c \int_\Xi \left(\left|\tilde{A}_{k,\ell} - J_k^\top \tilde{E}\right|^2 + \left|\operatorname{rot}(\tilde{A}_{k,\ell} - J_k^\top \tilde{E})\right|^2 \right) = c \|\tilde{A}_{k,\ell} - J_k^\top \tilde{E}\|^2_{\mathsf{R}(\Xi)} \xrightarrow{\ell\to\infty} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\chi_k E \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ by Remark 2.1 (i), and thus $E = \sum_k \chi_k E \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$. $\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ is proved analogously for $H \in \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ by approximating

$$(\det J_k) J_k^{-1} \tilde{H} \in \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi) = \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Xi), \qquad \gamma_\nu = \phi_k(\Gamma_{\nu,k}) \in \{\emptyset, B_0, B_{0,+}\},$$

with a sequence $(\tilde{A}_{k,\ell}) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi)$ in $\mathsf{D}(\Xi)$. □

Remark 4.6. *By Theorem 4.5, Lemma 4.4 also holds for the spaces $\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ and $\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$. More precisely, for $E \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ and $H \in \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ we have for $k \in \{1,\dots,K\}$*

$$E \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k), \qquad \chi_k E \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k), \qquad H \in \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_{\nu,k}}(\Omega_k), \qquad \chi_k H \in \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{\nu,k}}(\Omega_k).$$

Now the compact embedding for weak Lipschitz pairs (Ω, Γ_τ) can be proved.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\varepsilon \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(\Omega)$ be an admissible matrix field. Then the embedding*

$$\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$$

is compact.

Proof. Suppose (E_n) is a bounded sequence in $\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$. Then by mollification

$$E_{0,n} := \chi_0 E_n \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}(\Omega),$$

$E_{0,n}$ has even compact support in Ω, and by classical results, see our introduction, $(E_{0,n})$ contains a $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$-converging subsequence, again denoted by $(E_{0,n})$. Hence $E_{0,n} \to E_0$ in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ with some $E_0 \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$. Let $k \in \{1,\dots,K\}$. By Lemma 4.4 and Remark 4.6

$$E_{k,n} := \chi_k E_n \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k), \qquad \varepsilon E_{k,n} \in \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{\nu,k}}(\Omega_k)$$

and with

$$\operatorname{rot} E_{k,n} = \chi_k \operatorname{rot} E_n + \nabla \chi_k \times E_n, \qquad \operatorname{div}(\varepsilon E_{k,n}) = \chi_k \operatorname{div}(\varepsilon E_n) + \nabla \chi_k \cdot \varepsilon E_n$$

the sequence $(E_{k,n})$ is bounded in $\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k) \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{\nu,k}}(\Omega_k)$. We define and see by Lemma 4.2

$$\hat{E}_{k,n} := J_k^\top \tilde{E}_{k,n} \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\hat{\gamma}_\tau}(\Xi), \qquad \operatorname{rot} \hat{E}_{k,n} = (\det J_k) J_k^{-1} \widetilde{\operatorname{rot} E_{k,n}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{E}_{k,n}\|^2_{\mathsf{R}(\Xi)} &= \int_\Xi \big(|\hat{E}_{k,n}|^2 + |\operatorname{rot}\hat{E}_{k,n}|^2\big) = \int_{\Omega_k} |\det \underset{\sim}{J}_k|^{-1}\Big(\big|\underset{\sim}{J}_k^\top E_{k,n}\big|^2 + \big|(\det \underset{\sim}{J}_k)\underset{\sim}{J}_k^{-1}\operatorname{rot} E_{k,n}\big|^2\Big)\\ &\le c\int_{\Omega_k}\big(|E_{k,n}|^2 + |\operatorname{rot}E_{k,n}|^2\big) = c\,\|E_{k,n}\|^2_{\mathsf{R}(\Omega_k)},\end{aligned}$$

showing that $(\hat{E}_{k,n})$ is bounded in $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\hat{\gamma}_\tau}(\Xi)$. From

$$\hat{E}_{k,n} = J_k^\top \tilde{E}_{k,n} = (\det J_k)^{-1} J_k^\top \tilde{\varepsilon}^{-1} J_k (\det J_k) J_k^{-1}\tilde{\varepsilon}\tilde{E}_{k,n}$$

we observe by Lemma 4.2

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_k\hat{E}_{k,n} = (\det J_k)J_k^{-1}\tilde{\varepsilon}\tilde{E}_{k,n} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\hat{\gamma}_\nu}(\Xi),\quad \hat{\varepsilon}_k := (\det J_k)J_k^{-1}\tilde{\varepsilon}J_k^{-\top},\quad \operatorname{div}\hat{\varepsilon}_k\hat{E}_{k,n} = (\det J_k)\widetilde{\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon E_{k,n})}.$$

$\hat{\varepsilon}_k$ is admissible, as $\hat{\varepsilon}_k \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(\Xi)$ and for all $H \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$

$$\langle\hat{\varepsilon}_k H, H\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} = \langle(\det J_k)J_k^{-1}\tilde{\varepsilon}J_k^{-\top}H, H\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} \ge c\,\|J_k^{-\top}H\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)} \ge c\,\|H\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{E}_{k,n}\|^2_{\hat{\varepsilon}_k^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Xi)} &= \int_\Xi (|\hat{E}_{k,n}|^2 + |\operatorname{div}\hat{\varepsilon}_k\hat{E}_{k,n}|^2) = \int_{\Omega_k}|\det\underset{\sim}{J}_k|^{-1}\Big(\big|\underset{\sim}{J}_k^\top E_{k,n}\big|^2 + \big|(\det\underset{\sim}{J}_k)\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon E_{k,n})\big|^2\Big)\\ &\le c\int_{\Omega_k}\big(|E_{k,n}|^2 + |\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon E_{k,n})|^2\big) = c\,\|E_{k,n}\|^2_{\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega_k)}\end{aligned}$$

shows that $(\hat{E}_{k,n})$ is bounded in $\hat{\varepsilon}_k^{-1}\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\hat{\gamma}_\nu}(\Xi)$. Thus $(\hat{E}_{k,n})$ is bounded in

$$\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\hat{\gamma}_\tau}(\Xi)\cap\hat{\varepsilon}_k^{-1}\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\hat{\gamma}_\nu}(\Xi) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{R}}_{\hat{\gamma}_\tau}(\Xi)\cap\hat{\varepsilon}_k^{-1}\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{D}}_{\gamma_\nu}(\Xi),\quad \gamma_\nu\in\{\emptyset, B_0, B_{0,+}\},\quad \hat{\gamma}_\tau = \gamma\setminus\overline{\gamma}_\nu.$$

Therefore, w.l.o.g. $\hat{E}_{k,n} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \hat{E}_k$ in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$ with some $\hat{E}_k \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)$ by Theorem 4.1. Let

$$E_{k,n} := \underset{\sim}{J}_k^{-\top}\underset{\sim}{\hat{E}}_{k,n} \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega_k),\qquad E_k := \underset{\sim}{J}_k^{-\top}\underset{\sim}{\hat{E}}_k \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega_k)$$

and derive

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_{k,n}-E_k\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega_k)} &= \int_\Xi |\det J_k|\,|\tilde{E}_{k,n}-\tilde{E}_k|^2 = \int_\Xi |\det J_k|\,|J_k^{-\top}\hat{E}_{k,n} - J_k^{-\top}\hat{E}_k|^2\\ &\le c\int_\Xi |\hat{E}_{k,n}-\hat{E}_k|^2 = c\,\|\hat{E}_{k,n}-\hat{E}_k\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Xi)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $E_{k,n} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} E_k$ in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega_k)$ and $E_{k,n} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} E_k$ in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ for their extensions by zero to Ω. Finally $E_n = \sum_k \chi_k E_n = \sum_k E_{k,n} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \sum_k E_k$ in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$. □

It is clear, that by the same but much simpler arguments Rellich's selection theorem holds for weak Lipschitz domains Ω resp. weak Lipschitz pairs (Ω,Γ_τ). Therefore, also Poincaré's estimate holds in this case by a standard indirect argument.

Theorem 4.8. *Rellich's selection theorem and the Poincaré-Friedrichs estimate hold. More precisely:*

- **(i)** *The embedding* $\overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ *is compact.*
- **(ii)** *There exists a constant* $c_{\mathsf{p}} > 0$*, such that* $\|u\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} \le c_{\mathsf{p}}\,\|\nabla u\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}$ *holds for all* $u \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ *or all* $u \in \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Omega)$*, if* $\Gamma_\tau = \emptyset$*.*

5. Applications

From now on let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain and let (Ω,Γ_τ) be a weak Lipschitz pair as well as $\varepsilon \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(\Omega)$ be admissible.

5.1. **The Maxwell estimate.** A first consequence of the compact embedding Theorem 4.7, i.e.,

$$\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$$

is that the space of so-called 'Dirichlet-Neumann fields'

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega) := \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega)$$

is finite dimensional because the unit ball in $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)$ is compact. By a standard indirect argument Theorem 4.7 immediately implies the so-called Maxwell estimate:

Theorem 5.1. *There exists a constant $c_{\mathsf{m}} > 0$, such that for all $E \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$*

$$\|E\|_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} \leq c_{\mathsf{m}} \left(\|\operatorname{rot} E\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon E)\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Here we introduce $\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega) := \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ equipped with the scalar product $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} := \langle \varepsilon\, \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}$.

Proof. Suppose the estimate does not hold. Then there exists a sequence

$$(E_n) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$$

with $\|E_n\|_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} = 1$ and

$$\|\operatorname{rot} E_n\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon E_n)\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} \to 0.$$

Since (E_n) is bounded in $\mathsf{R}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)$, by Theorem 4.7 there exists a $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$-converging subsequence, again denoted by (E_n), with $E_n \to E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$. But then $E_n \to E$ in $\mathsf{R}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)$ and we see $E \in \mathsf{R}_0(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}_0(\Omega)$. As $\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathsf{R}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)$, we get

$$E \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon} = \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon} = \{0\},$$

a contradiction to $1 = \|E_n\|_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} \to \|E\|_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} = 0$. □

5.2. **Helmholtz decompositions.** Applying the projection theorem to the linear and closed operator $\nabla_\tau : \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \to \mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)$ with adjoint $-\operatorname{div}_\nu = \nabla_\tau^* : \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \subset \mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega) \to \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ yields

$$\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) = \overline{\nabla\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)} \oplus_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega), \tag{13}$$

and $\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega)$ follows by Theorem 3.8. Here $\oplus_\varepsilon$ denotes the orthogonal sum in $\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)$. On the other hand, for the closed linear operator $\varepsilon^{-1}\operatorname{rot}_\nu : \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \subset \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \to \mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)$ with adjoint $\operatorname{rot}_\tau := (\varepsilon^{-1}\operatorname{rot}_\nu)^* : \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega) \to \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ we get

$$\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-1}\overline{\operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)}, \tag{14}$$

and again $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega)$ by Theorem 3.8. Since

$$\nabla\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega), \qquad \operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega),$$

(13) and (14) yield

$$\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) = \overline{\nabla\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)} \oplus_\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega), \qquad \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-1}\overline{\operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)},$$

and hence by (13) or (14) the refined decomposition

$$\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) = \overline{\nabla\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)} \oplus_\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-1}\overline{\operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)}$$

follows. Again from (13) and (14) we obtain

$$\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \left(\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\overline{\operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)}\right),$$

$$\varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) = \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \overline{\nabla\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)}\right) \oplus_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega),$$

and thus the further refinements

$$\operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \operatorname{rot}\left(\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\overline{\operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)}\right) = \operatorname{rot}\left(\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}\right), \tag{15}$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) = \operatorname{div}\big(\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon\, \overline{\nabla \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)}\big) = \operatorname{div}\Big(\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon\,\big(\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}\big)\Big). \tag{16}$$

hold. With the help of these representations the closedness of $\operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{div} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ follows immediately by Theorem 5.1. The closedness of $\nabla \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ follows with the standard Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality from Theorem 4.8 (ii).

Lemma 5.2. *The range spaces $\nabla \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$, $\operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{div} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ are closed subspaces of $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Suppose $(H_n) \subset \operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ with $H_n \to H$ in $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$. By the representation (15) there exists a sequence $(E_n) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$ with $\operatorname{rot} E_n = H_n$. Theorem 5.1 yields

$$\|E_n\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq c_{\mathsf{m}} \|H_n\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)},$$

i.e., (E_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$ and hence converges in $\mathsf{R}(\Omega)$ to some $E \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$. Thus $H \leftarrow \operatorname{rot} E_n \to \operatorname{rot} E \in \operatorname{rot}\big(\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}\big)$. Analogously we show that $\operatorname{div} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ is closed. □

Altogether we obtain

Theorem 5.3. *The following orthogonal decompositions hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) &= \nabla \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)\\ &= \nabla \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) &= \operatorname{rot}\big(\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)\big) = \operatorname{rot}\big(\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}\big),\\ \operatorname{div} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) &= \operatorname{div}\big(\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon \nabla \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)\big) = \operatorname{div}\Big(\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon\big(\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}\big)\Big)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nabla \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}, \qquad \operatorname{div} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) & , \text{ if } \Gamma_\nu \neq \Gamma,\\ \mathsf{L}^2_\perp(\Omega) & , \text{ if } \Gamma_\nu = \Gamma,\end{cases}$$

$$\operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp}.$$

Moreover, the scalar ∇- and vector rot-, div*-potentials are uniquely determined in $\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ $\big($or in $\mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Omega)$, if $\Gamma_\tau = \emptyset\big)$, $\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$ and $\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon\big(\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}\big)$, respectively, and depend continuously on their respective images by the Poincaré-Friedrichs estimate, see Theorem 4.8 (ii), and Theorem 5.1.*

Remark 5.4. *Under more restrictive assumptions on the weak Lipschitz pair (Ω, Γ_τ) there exists $\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega)$-potentials as well, see Remarks 3.7, 3.9 and 3.11. More precisely, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain:*

- **(i)** *If Ω is simply connected and (Ω, Γ_τ) a weak Lipschitz pair, such that Γ_τ is connected, then, since the Poincaré-Friedrichs estimate holds by Theorem 4.8 (ii), a linear and continuous potential operator $\mathcal{S}_\nabla : \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \to \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ exists with $\nabla \mathcal{S}_\nabla E = E$ for all $E \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega)$. Furthermore*

  $$\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) = \nabla \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \qquad \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega) = \{0\}.$$

  Again, if $\Gamma_\tau = \emptyset$, we have to replace $\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ by $\mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Omega)$. Moreover, a linear and continuous potential operator $\mathcal{S}_\nabla : \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \to \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ can be chosen, if Ω is even strong Lipschitz.
- **(ii)** *If Ω is a strong Lipschitz domain, such that $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ is connected (i.e. Γ is connected), and if $\Gamma_\nu = \bigcup_{k=1}^K \Gamma_{\nu,k}$, $K \in \mathbb{N}$, with disjoint, relatively open and simply connected strong Lipschitz surface patches $\Gamma_{\nu,k} \subset \Gamma$ satisfying $\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma_{\nu,k}, \Gamma_{\nu,\ell}) > 0$ for all $1 \leq k \neq \ell \leq K$, then there exists a*

linear and continuous potential operator $\mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{r}} : \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \to \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *with* $\operatorname{rot} \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{r}} H = H$ *for all* $H \in \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega)$. *Furthermore*

$$\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) = \operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega), \qquad \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega) = \{0\}.$$

(iii) *If* Ω *is a strong Lipschitz domain with* $\Gamma_\nu = \dot{\bigcup_{k=1}^{K}} \Gamma_{\nu,k}$, $K \in \mathbb{N}$, *where* $\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma_{\nu,k}, \Gamma_{\nu,\ell}) > 0$ *for all* $1 \le k \ne \ell \le K$ *and* $\Gamma_{\nu,k}$ *are* C^3*-boundary patches allowing for* C^3*-regular extensions* $\hat{\Omega}_{\nu,k}$ *having connected complements* $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\hat{\Omega}}_{\nu,k}$, *then there exists a linear and continuous potential operator* $\mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{d}} : \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) \to \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *with* $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{d}} u = u$ *for all* $u \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$. *Furthermore*

$$\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) = \operatorname{div} \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega).$$

If $\Gamma_\nu = \Gamma$, *we have to replace* $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ *by* $\mathsf{L}^2_\perp(\Omega)$.

(iii') *As noted earlier at the end of Section 3.2, the results of (iii) are not optimal. Using different techniques from* [21, Lemma 2.1.1], *it has been shown in* [1, Lemma 3.2], *that the assertions of (iii) hold for any strong Lipschitz pair* (Ω, Γ_ν).

We will point out, how the potentials in the latter Helmholtz decompositions can be computed. By Theorem 5.3 any vector field $E \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ can be written as

$$E = E_\nabla + E_{\mathcal{H}} + \varepsilon^{-1} E_{\mathsf{r}} \in \nabla \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega).$$

Interchanging the roles of Γ_ν und Γ_τ in the decompositions of Theorem 5.3 yields (with $\varepsilon = \mathrm{id}$)

$$\operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) = \operatorname{rot} \mathsf{X}(\Omega), \qquad \mathsf{X}(\Omega) := \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)^\perp, \tag{17}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega)$, which is also finite dimensional. Hence $E_\nabla = \nabla u$ and $E_{\mathsf{r}} = \operatorname{rot} H$ with uniquely determined

$$u \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \qquad H \in \mathsf{X}(\Omega),$$

i.e., E can be written as

$$E = \nabla u + E_{\mathcal{H}} + \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} H. \tag{18}$$

In order to calculate u we test (18) with $\nabla\varphi$, $\varphi \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$, and due to orthogonality we get

$$\forall\, \varphi \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \qquad \langle \varepsilon \nabla u, \nabla\varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \langle \varepsilon E, \nabla\varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}. \tag{19}$$

In case $\Gamma_\tau = \emptyset$ we set again $\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) := \mathsf{H}^1_\perp(\Omega)$. By Poincaré's estimate the Lax-Milgram lemma or simply Riesz' representation theorem yields a unique $u \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ satisfying (19) and

$$\|u\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega)} \le c \, \|E\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}.$$

To calculate $H \in \mathsf{X}(\Omega)$ we test (18) with $\operatorname{rot}\Phi$, $\Phi \in \mathsf{X}(\Omega)$, and get again by orthogonality

$$\forall\, \Phi \in \mathsf{X}(\Omega) \qquad \langle \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} H, \operatorname{rot}\Phi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \langle E, \operatorname{rot}\Phi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}. \tag{20}$$

Due to the Maxwell estimate, i.e., Theorem 5.1, $\langle \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \cdot, \operatorname{rot} \cdot \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}$ is a coercive bilinear form or even a scalar product on $\mathsf{X}(\Omega)$ and Lax-Milgram's lemma or Riesz' representation theorem yields a unique $H \in \mathsf{X}(\Omega)$, satisfying (20) and

$$\|H\|_{\mathsf{R}(\Omega)} \le c \, \|E\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}.$$

(19) shows by definition and Theorem 4.5

$$\varepsilon(E - \nabla u) \in \mathring{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega).$$

Furthermore (20) holds for all $\Phi \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ by (17) as well. Hence by definition and Theorem 4.5 we obtain

$$E - \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} H \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega).$$

Finally the Dirichlet-Neumann field $E_{\mathcal{H}}$ is given by

$$E_{\mathcal{H}} := E - E_\nabla - \varepsilon^{-1} E_{\mathsf{r}} = E - \nabla u - \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} H \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)$$

as

$$E - \nabla u, \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} H \in \varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega), \qquad E - \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} H, \nabla u \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega).$$

Remark 5.5. (19) *is the variational formulation of the classical boundary value problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \varepsilon \nabla u &= \operatorname{div} \varepsilon E && \text{in } \Omega, && (21)\\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma_\tau, \\ n \cdot \varepsilon \nabla u &= n \cdot \varepsilon E && \text{on } \Gamma_\nu, && (22) \end{aligned}$$

because in the smooth case (19) *yields for all* $\varphi \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$

$$0 = \langle \varepsilon(\nabla u - E), \nabla \varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = - \underbrace{\langle \operatorname{div} \varepsilon(\nabla u - E), \varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}}_{=0} + \int_{\Gamma_\nu} (n \cdot \varepsilon(\nabla u - E)) \varphi.$$

Here, (21) *has a proper meaning not only in* $\mathsf{H}^{-1}(\Omega) = \big(\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1(\Omega)\big)'$ *but also in* $\big(\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)\big)'$*, which gives a meaning to the Neumann boundary condition* (22) *in this dual space as well.* (20) *is the variational formulation of the classical boundary value problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rot} \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} H &= \operatorname{rot} E && \text{in } \Omega, && (23)\\ \operatorname{div} H &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ n \times H &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma_\nu, \\ n \cdot H &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma_\tau, \\ n \times \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} H &= n \times E && \text{on } \Gamma_\tau, && (24)\\ H &\perp \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

because in the smooth case (20) *yields for all* $\Phi \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$

$$0 = \langle \varepsilon^{-1}(\operatorname{rot} H - \varepsilon E), \operatorname{rot} \Phi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \underbrace{\langle \operatorname{rot} \varepsilon^{-1}(\operatorname{rot} H - \varepsilon E), \Phi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}}_{=0} + \int_{\Gamma_\tau} (n \times \varepsilon^{-1}(\operatorname{rot} H - \varepsilon E) \cdot \Phi.$$

(23) *has a proper meaning not only in* $\mathsf{H}^{-1}(\Omega) = \big(\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1(\Omega)\big)'$ *but also in* $\big(\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)\big)'$ *or even in* $\big(\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)\big)'$*, which gives a meaning to the Neumann boundary condition* (24) *in this dual space as well.*

5.3. **Static solution theory.** As a further application we turn to the boundary value problem of electro- and magnetostatics with mixed boundary values: Let $F \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$, $g \in \mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$, $E_\tau \in \mathsf{R}(\Omega)$, $\varepsilon^{-1} E_\nu \in \mathsf{D}(\Omega)$ and ε be admissible. Find $E \in \mathsf{R}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rot} E &= F, && (25)\\ \operatorname{div} \varepsilon E &= g, && (26)\\ E - E_\tau &\in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), && (27)\\ \varepsilon(E - E_\nu) &\in \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega). && (28) \end{aligned}$$

For uniqueness, we require the additional conditions

$$\langle \varepsilon E, D_\ell \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \alpha_\ell \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, d, \tag{29}$$

where d is the dimension and $\{D_\ell\}$ an ε-orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)$. The boundary values on Γ_τ and Γ_ν, respectively, are realized by the given fields E_τ and E_ν, respectively.

Let us solve the problem: Theorem 5.3 yields

$$\mathsf{R}(\Omega) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \big(\mathsf{R}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)\big) = \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \big(\mathsf{R}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}\big).$$

The decomposition $E_\tau = E_{\tau,\mathsf{r}} + E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}}$ with $E_{\tau,\mathsf{r}} \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega)$ and $E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}} \in \mathsf{R}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$ shows

$$E - E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}} = E - E_\tau + E_\tau - E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}} = E - E_\tau + E_{\tau,\mathsf{r}} \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega).$$

Hence

$$E - E_\tau \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad E - E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}} \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega),$$

which means the field E_τ realizing the boundary values on Γ_τ can w.l.o.g. be chosen from the more regular space $\mathsf{R}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$. Similarly

$$\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega) = \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega) \cap \nabla\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)\right) = \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \oplus_\varepsilon \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}\right)$$

shows for $E_\nu = E_{\nu,\mathsf{d}} + E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}}$ with $E_{\nu,\mathsf{d}} \in \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega)$ and $E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}} \in \varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$

$$E - E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}} = E - E_\nu + E_\nu - E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}} = E - E_\nu + E_{\nu,\mathsf{d}} \in \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega).$$

Hence

$$E - E_\nu \in \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad E - E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}} \in \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega),$$

so the field E_ν can w.l.o.g. be chosen from $\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$. Therefore we will work with

$$E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}} \in \mathsf{R}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}, \qquad E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}} \in \varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$$

for the boundary conditions and note

$$\|E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}}\|_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} \leq \|E_\tau\|_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)}, \quad \operatorname{rot} E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}} = \operatorname{rot} E_\tau, \qquad \|E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}}\|_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} \leq \|E_\nu\|_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)}, \quad \operatorname{div}\varepsilon E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}} = \operatorname{div}\varepsilon E_\nu.$$

We first note that the system admits at most one solution, as for the homogeneous problem $E \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)$ together with (29) yield $E = 0$. Turning to existence the conditions

$$\operatorname{rot}(E - E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}}) = F - \operatorname{rot} E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}} =: \tilde{F} \in \operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \quad \operatorname{div}\varepsilon(E - E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}}) = g - \operatorname{div}\varepsilon E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}} =: \tilde{g} \in \operatorname{div}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$$

are necessary and from now on shall be assumed. By setting

$$\tilde{E} := E - E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}} - E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}}$$

the problem is transformed into a problem with homogenous boundary conditions, i.e., $\tilde{E}$ must solve

$$\operatorname{rot}\tilde{E} = \tilde{F}, \tag{30}$$

$$\operatorname{div}\varepsilon\tilde{E} = \tilde{g}, \tag{31}$$

$$\tilde{E} \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega). \tag{32}$$

Necessary conditions for the existence of solutions are

$$\tilde{F} \in \operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega), \quad \tilde{g} \in \operatorname{div}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega),$$

which have been assumed above. The conditions are already sufficient, as Theorem 5.3 shows the existence of fields

$$\tilde{E}_\mathsf{r} \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}, \qquad \varepsilon\tilde{E}_\mathsf{d} \in \mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon\left(\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau,0}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}\right)$$

with $\operatorname{rot}\tilde{E}_\mathsf{r} = \tilde{F}$ and $\operatorname{div}\varepsilon\tilde{E}_\mathsf{d} = \tilde{g}$. Then

$$\tilde{E} := \tilde{E}_\mathsf{r} + \tilde{E}_\mathsf{d} \in \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$$

solves the system (30)-(32) with $\tilde{E} \perp_\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)$. Therefore

$$E_0 := \tilde{E} + E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}} + E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}} \in \mathsf{R}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon}$$

solves (25)-(28) with $E_0 \perp_\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)$, i.e., (29) with $\alpha = 0$. Finally

$$E := E_0 + \sum_\ell \alpha_\ell D_\ell \in \mathsf{R}(\Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)$$

solves (25)-(29). Furthermore, E depends continuously on the data by Theorem 5.1. More precisely, equipping $\mathsf{R}(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)$ with the norms

$$\|\cdot\|^2_{\mathsf{R}(\Omega)} := \|\cdot\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{rot}\cdot\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}, \quad \|\cdot\|^2_{\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)} := \|E\,\cdot\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div}\varepsilon\,\cdot\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E\|^2_{\mathsf{R}(\Omega)\cap\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)} &= \|E\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} + \|F\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)},\\
\|E\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} &= \|E_0\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} + |\alpha|^2,\\
\|E_0\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} &\leq \|\tilde{E}\|_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)} + \|E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}} + E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}}\|_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}}+E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}}\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)}=\|E_{\tau,\mathsf{d}}\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)}+\|E_{\nu,\mathsf{r}}\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)}\leq\|E_\tau\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)}+\|E_\nu\|^2_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)}$$

and by the Maxwell estimate Theorem 5.1

$$\|\tilde E\|_{\mathsf{L}^2_\varepsilon(\Omega)}\leq c_{\mathsf{m}}\left(\|\tilde F\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\|\tilde g\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}\right)\leq c_{\mathsf{m}}\left(\|F\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\|\operatorname{rot}E_\tau\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\|\operatorname{div}\varepsilon E_\nu\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}\right).$$

Thus there exists a constant $\tilde c_{\mathsf{m}}>0$, just depending on c_{m}, such that

$$\|E\|_{\mathsf{R}(\Omega)\cap\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)}\leq\tilde c_{\mathsf{m}}\left(\|F\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)}+\|E_\tau\|_{\mathsf{R}(\Omega)}+\|E_\nu\|_{\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)}+|\alpha|\right).$$

Our latter results show that the linear solution operator with

$$(F,g,E_\tau,E_\nu,\alpha)\mapsto E$$

maps continuously onto $\mathsf{R}(\Omega)\cap\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)$. Let us summarize:

Theorem 5.6. (25)-(28) *admits a solution, if and only if*

$$E_\tau\in\mathsf{R}(\Omega),\quad E_\nu\in\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega),\quad F-\operatorname{rot}E_\tau\in\operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega),\quad g-\operatorname{div}\varepsilon E_\nu\in\operatorname{div}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega).$$

The solution $E\in\mathsf{R}(\Omega)\cap\varepsilon^{-1}\mathsf{D}(\Omega)$ can be chosen in a way, such that condition (29) *with $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^d$ is fulfilled, which then uniquely determines the solution. Furthermore the solution depends linearly and continuously on the data.*

We note that with Theorem 5.3 the ranges can be described by

$$\operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)=\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu,0}(\Omega)\cap\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^\perp,\qquad\operatorname{div}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)=\begin{cases}\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega) & ,\text{ if }\Gamma_\nu\neq\Gamma,\\ \mathsf{L}^2_\perp(\Omega) & ,\text{ if }\Gamma_\nu=\Gamma.\end{cases}$$

For homogeneous boundary data, i.e., $E_\tau=E_\nu=0$, we can state a sharper result: The linear static Maxwell-operator

$$\begin{array}{rccc}M & : & \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)\cap\varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)\times\operatorname{div}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)\times\mathbb{R}^d\\ & & E & \longmapsto & \left(\operatorname{rot}E,\operatorname{div}\varepsilon E,(\langle\varepsilon E,D_\ell\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)})_{\ell=1}^d\right)\end{array}$$

is a topological isomorphism. Its inverse M^{-1} maps not only continuously onto $\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)\cap\varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$, but also compactly into $\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)$ by Theorem 4.7. For homogeneous kernel data, i.e., for

$$\begin{array}{rccc}M_0 & : & \mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)\cap\varepsilon^{-1}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)\cap\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\Omega)^{\perp_\varepsilon} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{rot}\mathring{\mathsf{R}}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)\times\operatorname{div}\mathring{\mathsf{D}}_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)\\ & & E & \longmapsto & (\operatorname{rot}E,\operatorname{div}\varepsilon E)\end{array}$$

we have $\|M_0^{-1}\|\leq(c_{\mathsf{m}}^2+1)^{1/2}$.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 3.1

We will show the density result for H^1-functions, Lemma 3.1, which is first proved for a flat boundary in Lemma A.1 and then generalized to weak Lipschitz pairs in Lemma 3.1. Although both proofs can be found in [6, Lemma 2,3], we repeat them here, using our notation and with some major simplifications. Let us introduce the following notations:

$$\mathbb{R}^3_\pm:=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^3:\pm x_3>0\},\qquad\mathbb{R}^3_0:=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^3:x_3=0\},\qquad\mathbb{R}^3_{0,-}:=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^3_0:x_1<0\}$$

Lemma A.1. *Suppose $u_-\in\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_-)$ with compact support and $u_-|_{\mathbb{R}^3_{0,-}}=0$ in the sense of traces. Then*

$$\forall\,\delta>0\quad\exists\,u\in\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathbb{R}^3_{0,-})\qquad\|u-u_-\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_-)}<\delta.$$

Proof. Let $\delta>0$. For $t>0$ define $u_-^t(x):=u_-(x_1-t,x_2,x_3)$ f.a.a. $x\in\mathbb{R}^3_-$, the translation of u_- in the direction of x_1. Then $u_-^t\in\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_-)$ and its trace satisfies

$$u_-^t(x)=0\text{ f.a.a. }x\in\mathbb{R}^3_0\text{ with }x_1\leq t.$$

Since the translation is continuous, there exists $t>0$ with $\|u_-^t-u_-\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_-)}<\delta/3$. The reflection u_+^t of u_-^t defined by $u_+^t(x):=u_-^t(x_1,x_2,-x_3)$ for $x\in\mathbb{R}^3_+$ defines an element of $\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$ with $u_+^t(x)=u_-^t(x)$ f.a.a. $x\in\mathbb{R}^3_0$ and hence

$$u_+^t(x)=u_-^t(x)=0\text{ f.a.a. }x\in\mathbb{R}^3_0\text{ with }x_1\leq t.$$

A cut-off argument[5] yields a function $\tilde{u}^t_+ \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$ with

$$\tilde{u}^t_+(x) = 0 \text{ f.a.a. } x \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ \text{ with } x_1 \le t/2, \qquad \tilde{u}^t_+(x) = u^t_+(x) = u^t_-(x) \text{ f.a.a. } x \in \mathbb{R}^3_0.$$

Hence

$$u^t := \begin{cases} \tilde{u}^t_+ & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3_+, \\ u^t_- & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3_- \end{cases}$$

defines an element in $\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which vanishes f.a.a. $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $x_3 \ge 0$ and $x_1 \le t/2$. Let $u^{t,s}$ with $u^{t,s}(x) := u^t(x_1, x_2, x_3 + s)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be the translation of u^t in the direction $-x_3$. Then $u^{t,s} \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and there is $s > 0$ with $\|u^{t,s} - u^t\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \delta/3$. Furthermore $u^{t,s} = 0$ in a neighbourhood of $\mathbb{R}^3_{0,-}$ even of $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ \,:\, x_1 < t/4\}$, more explicitly in

$$\Big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3 > -s \text{ and } x_1 < \frac{t}{2}\Big\} \supset \mathbb{R}^3_{0,-}.$$

Since $\operatorname{supp} u^{t,s} \Subset \mathbb{R}^3$, Friedrichs' mollification yields some $u \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{R}^3_{0,-})$ with $\|u - u^{t,s}\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \delta/3$. Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_-\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_-)} &\le \|u - u^{t,s}\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_-)} + \|u^{t,s} - u^t\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_-)} + \|u^t - u_-\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_-)} \\ &\le \|u - u^{t,s}\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u^{t,s} - u^t\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u^t_- - u_-\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_-)}, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. □

Proof of Lemma 3.1 We already know $\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$. Any $u \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ can be extended by zero into an open neighbourhood of Γ_τ, where the common boundary of Ω and the extension is exactly Γ_τ, and stays in H^1. For this, let us denote the extended domain by $\hat{\Omega}$ and the extended function by $\hat{u}$. Then $\hat{u}$ belongs to $\mathsf{H}^1(\hat{\Omega})$, since for all $\varphi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty(\hat{\Omega})$ it follows $\varphi \in \mathring{\mathsf{C}}^\infty_{\Gamma_\nu}(\Omega)$ and hence

$$\langle \hat{u}, \partial_i \varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\hat{\Omega})} = \langle u, \partial_i \varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = -\langle \partial_i u, \varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\Omega)} = -\langle \widehat{\partial_i u}, \varphi \rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(\hat{\Omega})}.$$

Since $\hat{u}$ is zero in the extension part of $\hat{\Omega}$, its trace vanishes on Γ_τ and coincides with the trace of u itself, which shows $u \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$. Therefore, we have

$$\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega).$$

The reverse inclusion is proved with the help of Lemma A.1. Suppose $u \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$. Let $U_1, \dots, U_K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an open covering of Γ, see Definitions 2.3 and 2.5, and set $U_0 = \Omega$. Moreover, let χ_k, $k = 0, \dots, K$, denote a partition of unity subordinate to the open covering $U_0, \dots, U_K$ of $\overline{\Omega}$. Since $\operatorname{supp} \chi_0 \Subset \Omega$, we obtain by mollification $u_0 := \chi_0 u \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1(\Omega) \subset \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$. Let $k = 1, \dots, K$. Then it is sufficient to show that

$$u_k := \chi_k u \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$$

belongs to $\mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$, since then $u = \sum_{k=0}^K u_k \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$. Hence, by Remark 2.1 (i), it is sufficient to show that u_k, $k = 1, \dots, K$, can be approximated in $\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega)$ by $\mathring{\mathsf{C}}^{0,1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ functions. We will utilize the notations of Subsection 4.2. Then

$$u_k \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\Gamma_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k), \qquad \tilde{u}_k := u_k \circ \psi_k \in \mathring{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\hat{\gamma}_\tau}(\Xi),$$

and there are three cases, i.e., $\gamma_\tau \in \{\emptyset, B_0, B_{0,-}\}$, to be discussed. Let $k = 1, \dots, K$ and $\delta > 0$.

[5] Multiply $u^t_+(x)$ with some $\varphi(x_1)$, where $\varphi \in \mathsf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0,1])$ with $\varphi|_{(-\infty, t/2)} = 0$ and $\varphi|_{(t,\infty)} = 1$.

$\gamma_\tau = B_0$**:** Then $\tilde{u}_k \in \overset{\circ}{\underset{\sim}{\mathsf{H}}}{}^1_\gamma(\Xi) = \overset{\circ}{\underset{\sim}{\mathsf{H}}}{}^1(\Xi) = \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{H}}{}^1(\Xi)$ by classical results. Hence there exists $\tilde{\varphi} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^\infty(\Xi)$ with $\|\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{u}_k\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Xi)} < \delta$. Hence $\varphi := \tilde{\varphi} \circ \phi_k \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{0,1}(\Omega_k) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{0,1}(\Omega) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{0,1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ and by Lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\varphi - u_k\|^2_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega)} = \|\varphi - u_k\|^2_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega_k)} = \int_{\Omega_k} \big(|\varphi - u_k|^2 + |\nabla\varphi - \nabla u_k|^2\big) \\
&= \int_\Xi |\det \psi_k'| \big(|\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{u}_k|^2 + |\widetilde{\nabla\varphi} - \widetilde{\nabla u_k}|^2\big) = \int_\Xi |\det \psi_k'| \Big(|\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{u}_k|^2 + \big|(\psi_k')^{-\top}(\nabla\tilde{\varphi} - \nabla\tilde{u}_k)\big|^2\Big) \\
&\leq c \int_\Xi \big(|\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{u}_k|^2 + |\nabla\tilde{\varphi} - \nabla\tilde{u}_k|^2\big) = c\,\|\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{u}_k\|^2_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Xi)} < c\,\delta^2. \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

$\gamma_\tau = \emptyset$**:** Then $\tilde{u}_k \in \overset{\circ}{\underset{\sim}{\mathsf{H}}}{}^1_\sigma(\Xi) \subset \mathsf{H}^1(\Xi)$ and by Calderon's extension theorem $\tilde{u}_k$ can be identified with $\tilde{u}_k \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus there exists $\tilde{\varphi} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\|\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{u}_k\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \delta$ and $\varphi := \tilde{\varphi} \circ \phi_k \in \mathsf{C}^{0,1}(\Omega_k)$. Let $\eta_k \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^\infty(U_k)$ with $\eta_k = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}\chi_k$. We set $\varphi_k := \eta_k\varphi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{0,1}_{\Sigma_k}(\Omega_k) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{0,1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$ and note that $u_k = \eta_k u_k$ does not change. With $\varphi_k - u_k = \eta_k(\varphi - u_k)$ and (33) we get

$$\|\varphi_k - u_k\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\varphi - u_k\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega_k)} \leq c\,\|\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{u}_k\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Xi)} < c\,\delta.$$

$\gamma_\tau = B_{0,-}$**:** Then $\tilde{u}_k \in \overset{\circ}{\underset{\sim}{\mathsf{H}}}{}^1_{\hat{\gamma}_\tau}(\Xi)$ and we identify $\tilde{u}_k$ with its extension by zero to $\mathbb{R}^3_-$. Therefore $\tilde{u}_k \in \mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_-)$ has compact support in $\overline{\Xi}$ and satisfies $\tilde{u}_k|_{\mathbb{R}^3_{0,-}} = 0$. Then Lemma A.1 yields some $\tilde{\varphi} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{R}^3_{0,-})$ with $\|\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{u}_k\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3_-)} < \delta$. Hence $\tilde{\varphi} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^\infty_{\gamma_\tau}(\Xi)$ and thus $\varphi := \tilde{\varphi} \circ \phi_k \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{0,1}_{\Gamma_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k)$. Again, let $\eta_k \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^\infty(U_k)$ with $\eta_k = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}\chi_k$ and define $\varphi_k := \eta_k\varphi \in \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{0,1}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{\tau,k}}(\Omega_k) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathsf{C}}{}^{0,1}_{\Gamma_\tau}(\Omega)$. Noting $u_k = \eta_k u_k$ does not change and with $\varphi_k - u_k = \eta_k(\varphi - u_k)$ and (33) we see

$$\|\varphi_k - u_k\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\varphi - u_k\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Omega_k)} \leq c\,\|\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{u}_k\|_{\mathsf{H}^1(\Xi)} < c\,\delta.$$

□

Acknowledgements

We heartily thank Immanuel Anjam for drawing the pictures.

References

[1] S. Bauer, P. Neff, D. Pauly, and G. Starke. Dev-Div- and DevSym-DevCurl-Inequalities for Incompatible Square Tensor Fields with Mixed Boundary Conditions. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 2015.

[2] M. Costabel. A remark on the regularity of solutions of Maxwell's equations on Lipschitz domains. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 12(4):365–368, 1990.

[3] D.M. Eidus. On the principle of limiting absorption. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 57(99):13–44, 1962.

[4] D.M. Eidus. On the limiting amplitude principle. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 158:794–797, 1964.

[5] D.M. Eidus. The principle of limiting amplitude. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 24(3 (147)):91–156, 1969.

[6] F. Jochmann. A compactness result for vector fields with divergence and curl in $L^q(\Omega)$ involving mixed boundary conditions. *Appl. Anal.*, 66:189–203, 1997.

[7] P. Kuhn. *Die Maxwellgleichung mit wechselnden Randbedingungen*. Dissertation, Universität Essen, Fachbereich Mathematik, http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.2028, *Shaker*, 1999.

[8] P. Kuhn and D. Pauly. Regularity results for generalized electro-magnetic problems. *Analysis (Munich)*, 30(3):225–252, 2010.

[9] R. Leis. *Initial Boundary Value Problems in Mathematical Physics*. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1986.

[10] D. Pauly. Low frequency asymptotics for time-harmonic generalized Maxwell equations in nonsmooth exterior domains. *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.*, 16(2):591–622, 2006.

[11] D. Pauly. Generalized electro-magneto statics in nonsmooth exterior domains. *Analysis (Munich)*, 27(4):425–464, 2007.

[12] D. Pauly. Complete low frequency asymptotics for time-harmonic generalized Maxwell equations in nonsmooth exterior domains. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 60(3-4):125–184, 2008.

[13] D. Pauly. Hodge-Helmholtz decompositions of weighted Sobolev spaces in irregular exterior domains with inhomogeneous and anisotropic media. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 31:1509–1543, 2008.

[14] D. Pauly. On polynomial and exponential decay of eigen-solutions to exterior boundary value problems for the generalized time-harmonic Maxwell system. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 79(1-2):133–160, 2012.

[15] R. Picard. Randwertaufgaben der verallgemeinerten Potentialtheorie. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 3:218–228, 1981.

[16] R. Picard. On the boundary value problems of electro- and magnetostatics. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 92:165–174, 1982.
[17] R. Picard. An elementary proof for a compact imbedding result in generalized electromagnetic theory. *Math. Z.*, 187:151–164, 1984.
[18] R. Picard. On the low frequency asymptotics in and electromagnetic theory. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 354:50–73, 1984.
[19] R. Picard. Some decomposition theorems and their applications to non-linear potential theory and Hodge theory. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 12:35–53, 1990.
[20] R. Picard, N. Weck, and K.-J. Witsch. Time-harmonic Maxwell equations in the exterior of perfectly conducting, irregular obstacles. *Analysis (Munich)*, 21:231–263, 2001.
[21] H. Sohr. *The Navier-Stokes Equations*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
[22] C. Weber. A local compactness theorem for Maxwell's equations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 2:12–25, 1980.
[23] C. Weber. Regularity theorems for Maxwell's equations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 3:523–536, 1981.
[24] N. Weck. Maxwell's boundary value problems on Riemannian manifolds with nonsmooth boundaries. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 46:410–437, 1974.
[25] N. Weck. Traces of differential forms on Lipschitz boundaries. *Analysis (Munich)*, 24:147–169, 2004.
[26] K.-J. Witsch. A remark on a compactness result in electromagnetic theory. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 16:123–129, 1993.

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Campus Essen, Germany
E-mail address, Sebastian Bauer: sebastian.bauer.seuberlich@uni-due.de
E-mail address, Dirk Pauly: dirk.pauly@uni-due.de
E-mail address, Michael Schomburg: michael.schomburg@uni-due.de